

MR2233852 (Review) 32G15 (14D22 20F34 57M50)

Fock, Vladimir [Fok, V. V.] (RS-ITEP);

Goncharov, Alexander [Goncharov, Alexander B.] (1-BRN)

Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory. (English summary)

Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. No. 103 (2006), 1–211.

This ambitious paper develops the theory of higher Teichmüller spaces over a compact connected oriented surface S with possibly nonempty boundary and punctures. These spaces generalize the classical Fricke-Teichmüller spaces whose points parametrize isometry classes of complete hyperbolic geometry structures on S , possibly with geodesic boundary.

Higher Teichmüller spaces originate with the moduli spaces $\mathcal{L}_{G,S}$ of G -local systems over S , where G is a connected \mathbb{R} -split semisimple algebraic Lie group. Such a local system is equivalent to a flat principal G -bundle over S . This, in turn, is equivalent to a conjugacy class of a representation $\pi_1(S) \xrightarrow{\rho} G$. The set $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)$ has a natural structure of an affine algebraic set over \mathbb{R} , and $\mathcal{L}_{G,S}$ is its quotient (in the classical topology) by inner automorphisms of G .

The higher Teichmüller spaces involve some extra structure over the boundary, and correspond to a remarkable class of representations. Let B denote a Borel subgroup (minimal parabolic subgroup) of G and U its unipotent radical. A framing of a G -local system is a parallel section of the associated flat G/B -bundle over ∂S . A decoration of a G -local system is a parallel section of the associated flat G/U -bundle over ∂S , provided that the holonomy around each component of the boundary is unipotent. Equivalently a framing (respectively a decoration) corresponds to, for each component $\gamma \subset \partial S$, an element of G/B (respectively G/U) invariant under $\rho(\gamma)$. The authors define moduli spaces $\mathfrak{X}_{G,S}$ of framed local systems and $\mathcal{A}_{G,S}$ of decorated local systems, each of which maps to $\mathcal{L}_{G,S}$. They conjecture that these two moduli spaces are dual when the group G is replaced by its Langlands dual. Specifically, this means that there is a basis of functions on the framed moduli space $\mathfrak{X}_{G,S}$ parametrized by the points of the tropicalization of the decorated moduli space $\mathcal{A}_{G,S}$, and vice versa.

The paper develops a structure on these moduli spaces similar to that of a toric variety, whereby the space admits a dense open subset which looks like an affine torus $(\mathbb{G}_m)^N$ with a natural “symplectic geometry”. When $\partial S = \emptyset$, this is the symplectic geometry given by the general construction in [W. M. Goldman, *Adv. in Math.* **54** (1984), no. 2, 200–225; [MR0762512 \(86i:32042\)](#)], which was based on [M. F. Atiyah and R. H. Bott, *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **308** (1983), no. 1505, 523–615; [MR0702806 \(85k:14006\)](#)] for the analogous case that G is compact. In the simplest case ($G = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$), all three moduli spaces are the Fricke-Teichmüller space $\mathfrak{T}(S)$ of marked hyperbolic structures on S , the moduli space in question, and the symplectic structure arises from the Kähler form of the Weil-Petersson metric on Teichmüller space.

When $\partial S \neq \emptyset$, the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_{G,S}$ of decorated local systems carries a natural degenerate closed exterior 2-form. Its “dual” moduli space $\mathfrak{X}_{G,S}$ of framed local systems carries a natural Poisson structure on $\mathcal{L}_{G,S}$. In the analogous case when G is compact, these structures relate to the

Poisson structures on moduli spaces considered by K. Guruprasad et al. [Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), no. 2, 377–412; [MR1460627 \(98e:58034\)](#)] and the quasi-Hamiltonian moment maps considered by A. Yu. Alekseev, A. Z. Malkin and E. Meinrenken [J. Differential Geom. **48** (1998), no. 3, 445–495; [MR1638045 \(99k:58062\)](#)]. Closely related are the constructions, using quantum groups, of V. V. Fok and A. A. Roslyĭ [in *Moscow Seminar in Mathematical Physics*, 67–86, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 191, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999; [MR1730456 \(2001k:53167\)](#)] and Alekseev and Malkin [Comm. Math. Phys. **169** (1995), no. 1, 99–119; [MR1328263 \(96m:58028\)](#)]

The locally toric structure on these moduli spaces has a remarkable property: one component of this space has a positive structure. From the viewpoint of the paper under review, the disconnectedness of the space $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)$ (corresponding to the moduli space $\mathcal{L}_{G,S}$ of local systems) arises from the disconnectedness of the Lie group \mathbb{R}^* .

In the simplest case ($G = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$), the Fricke-Teichmüller space $\mathfrak{T}(S)$ of marked complete hyperbolic structures on S embeds as a connected component in the moduli space, defined by real inequalities (conditions like $|\text{tr}(\rho(\gamma))| \geq 2$, for example). The dense open affine torus $(\mathbb{G}_m)^N$ then corresponds to the shearing coordinates developed by W. Thurston and by R. C. Penner [Comm. Math. Phys. **113** (1987), no. 2, 299–339; [MR0919235 \(89h:32044\)](#)]. Since this plays a fundamental role in this theory, we briefly review the construction.

The starting point for this theory is an ideal triangulation of S and the shearing coordinates on $\mathfrak{T}(S)$ first studied in this context by Thurston and Penner. The surface S , with a convex hyperbolic structure, is decomposed into ideal polygons. (The first occurrence of this idea seems to be in the 1983 doctoral thesis of Lee Mosher [“Pseudo-Anosovs on punctured surfaces”, Princeton Univ., Princeton, NJ, 1983] written under the supervision of Thurston.) When S has cusps, then the sides of the polygons may be simple geodesics which limit to the cusps. When $\partial\bar{S} \neq \emptyset$, then $\partial\bar{S}$ is assumed to be a union of closed geodesics, and the sides of the polygon may spiral around these closed geodesics, or other closed geodesics in the interior of S . The surface is reconstructed from this finite set of polygons by identifying sides (which appear as shears), and these gluing instructions furnish a convenient and computable set of coordinates for $\mathfrak{T}(S)$. As first observed by Penner [J. Differential Geom. **35** (1992), no. 3, 559–608; [MR1163449 \(93d:32029\)](#)], the Weil-Petersson symplectic form has a remarkably simple expression in these coordinates. Penner’s construction is based in turn on S. A. Wolpert’s theorem that Fenchel-Nielsen coordinates on $\mathfrak{T}(S)$ are canonical (Darboux) coordinates for the Weil-Petersson Kähler form [S. A. Wolpert, Amer. J. Math. **107** (1985), no. 4, 969–997; [MR0796909 \(87b:32040\)](#)].

The shearing coordinates provide instructions to assemble a hyperbolic surface out of ideal 2-simplices. The condition that the shear coordinates are positive implies that the union of ideal 2-simplices fit together to form a nonsingular hyperbolic surface. Otherwise the union is a hyperbolic surface folded along the geodesic 1-simplices. These correspond to representations in other components of $\text{Hom}(\pi_1(S), G)$, and have been investigated by R. M. Kashaev [Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005), no. 1, 23–36; [MR2122727 \(2005k:53164\)](#)].

Such ideal triangulations are related by sequences of mutations, whereby one edge is removed and replaced by a geodesic with an alternate pair of endpoints, such as replacing one diagonal in a quadrilateral by the other diagonal. The coordinates transform birationally, preserving the symplectic geometry and the positivity. They define a groupoid, whose objects are ideal triangulations,

and the morphisms are generated by the elementary moves. Because $\text{Mod}(S)$ has only finitely many orbits on the set of ideal triangulations, this group is a finite extension of $\text{Mod}(S)$.

Fok and Goncharov show that this theory extends to all split real forms G . In their generalized shearing coordinates, the elementary transformations are represented by rational functions whose numerators and denominators are polynomials whose coefficients are positive integers. Therefore inside the coordinate ring of the moduli space is a preserved subset of positive functions. Moreover this positive structure on the moduli space determines a preferred subset of positive points, which comprises a connected component in the classical topology of the set of \mathbb{R} -points. From its description this component is homeomorphic to a cell \mathbb{R}^N . This positivity was due to G. Lusztig in his theory of canonical bases [in *Lie theory and geometry*, 531–568, Progr. Math., 123, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994; [MR1327548 \(96m:20071\)](#); in *Algebraic groups and Lie groups*, 281–295, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997; [MR1635687 \(2000j:20089\)](#)], and independently to A. Zelevinsky.

The authors describe this in the general algebraic framework they call an orbi-cluster ensemble. The ideal triangulations correspond to the seeds and the mutations which closely relate to the cluster algebras developed by S. Fomin and Zelevinsky [J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 2, 497–529 (electronic); [MR1887642 \(2003f:16050\)](#); Invent. Math. **154** (2003), no. 1, 63–121; [MR2004457 \(2004m:17011\)](#); Adv. in Appl. Math. **28** (2002), no. 2, 119–144; [MR1888840 \(2002m:05013\)](#)]. As noted in the paper the relationship between cluster algebras and Penner’s Weil-Petersson symplectic geometry on decorated Teichmüller spaces was independently discussed by M. I. Gekhtman, M. Z. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein [Duke Math. J. **127** (2005), no. 2, 291–311; [MR2130414 \(2006d:53103\)](#); correction, Duke Math. J. **139** (2007), no. 2, 407–409; [MR2352136 \(2008f:53110\)](#)]. While the mutations for higher groups appear the same as for $\text{SL}(2)$ the expression of flips becomes increasingly complicated—for example for $\text{SL}(3)$ flips require four mutations.

Furthermore the action of the mapping class group $\text{Mod}(S)$ on these spaces preserves all this structure. Starting from the Poisson structure, the authors then develop a quantization of this space, from which new actions and extensions of the mapping class group derive. This generalizes earlier work in this direction by Fok and L. O. Chekhov [Teoret. Mat. Fiz. **120** (1999), no. 3, 511–528; [MR1737362 \(2001g:32034\)](#)]. Extending the mapping class group of a surface to a groupoid generated by flips appears in earlier work of Penner [Adv. Math. **98** (1993), no. 2, 143–215; [MR1213724 \(94k:32032\)](#); in *Geometric Galois actions*, 2, 293–312, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997; [MR1653016 \(99j:32024\)](#)]. These quantum representations of the mapping class were an important motivation for this study, on which the authors have recently made progress [Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 2, 223–286; [MR2470108](#)].

The symplectic form is also described in terms of the algebraic K -theory of the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_{G,S}$ of decorated local systems. The (possibly degenerate) closed 2-form defines an element of K_2 of the function field of $\mathcal{A}_{G,S}$. Its explicit description [see A. B. Goncharov, in *I. M. Gelfand Seminar*, 169–210, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993; [MR1237830 \(95c:57045\)](#)] displayed the canonical coordinate systems, which initiated this investigation. As Fok has pointed out to the reviewer, pursuing this approach relates K_3 of this field with volumes of simplices in the symmetric space.

The positive structure allows one to tropicalize this variety. In the simplest case, the tropical points identify with measured geodesic laminations, whose projectivizations comprise Thurston's boundary for $\mathfrak{T}(S)$. The relation between Thurston's symplectic form on the measured lamination space and the Weil-Petersson Kähler form is due to A. Papadopoulos and Penner [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335** (1993), no. 2, 891–904; [MR1089420 \(93d:57022\)](#); C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **312** (1991), no. 11, 871–874; [MR1108510 \(92e:57023\)](#)]. That Thurston's spaces tropicalize the real character variety is implicitly due to J. W. Morgan and P. B. Shalen [Ann. of Math. (2) **120** (1984), no. 3, 401–476; [MR0769158 \(86f:57011\)](#)] and is related to George Bergman's logarithmic limit set of an affine variety [Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **157** (1971), 459–469; [MR0280489 \(43#6209\)](#)].

For other G , this defines a new structure, which deserves further study. In particular the extension of Thurston's theory of measured laminations on hyperbolic surfaces (such as train track coordinates, earthquake deformations, bending, cataclysms) to higher Teichmüller theory raises many fascinating questions. The paper under review treats the case of $\mathrm{SL}(n)$, but for the other split real forms, the reader should consult the authors' sequel [in *Algebraic geometry and number theory*, 27–68, Progr. Math., 253, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2006; [MR2263192 \(2008b:22009\)](#)], but the cluster theory for general G is not given here.

When $G = \mathrm{SL}(3, \mathbb{R})$ this is the deformation space of convex $\mathbb{R}\mathrm{P}^2$ -structures on S , which was discussed in the authors' shorter paper [Adv. Math. **208** (2007), no. 1, 249–273; [MR2304317 \(2008g:57015\)](#)]. For compact surfaces this deformation space was shown to be a cell when S is a compact surface with boundary by the reviewer [J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 3, 791–845; [MR1053346 \(91b:57001\)](#)].

In general, the higher Teichmüller space coincides with the Teichmüller component (now called the Hitchin component) of the space $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi, G)/G$ discovered by Nigel Hitchin [Topology **31** (1992), no. 3, 449–473; [MR1174252 \(93e:32023\)](#)]. Using gauge-theoretic techniques and a complex structure J on S , Hitchin identified a connected component of $\mathrm{Hom}(\pi, G)/G$ with the complex vector space of sections of a holomorphic vector bundle over the Riemann surface (S, J) . F. Labourie [Invent. Math. **165** (2006), no. 1, 51–114; [MR2221137 \(2007c:20101\)](#)] discovered strong dynamical properties of the representations in Hitchin's component, and proved that such representations quasi-isometrically embed $\pi_1(S)$ in G , and in particular define isomorphisms of $\pi_1(S)$ with discrete subgroups of G . O. Guichard [J. Differential Geom. **80** (2008), no. 3, 391–431; [MR2472478 \(2009h:57031\)](#)] completed Labourie's characterization of these representations. Specifically the curve $S^1 \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{P}^n$ is hyperconvex if for every collection $x_0, \dots, x_n \in S^1$ consisting of distinct points, the lines in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} corresponding to $f(x_0), \dots, f(x_n)$ span \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Crucial to this point of view is that the limit set of these groups is positive in the above sense; Labourie established that these positive curves are Hölder regular, which is an important feature in this theory.

Among the many intriguing questions raised in this paper is whether a representation $\pi_1(S) \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{C}}$ (where $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the group of \mathbb{C} -points) which is close to a Hitchin representation in G determines a pair of Hitchin representations into G , that is, a pair of points in the “higher Teichmüller space”. The evidence for this conjecture is the classical case when $G = \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$, in which L. Bers's simultaneous uniformization for quasi-Fuchsian deformations of Fuchsian representations

parametrizes quasi-Fuchsian surface groups [L. Bers, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **66** (1960), 94–97; [MR0111834 \(22 #2694\)](#)]. Bers’s proof uses heavily the theory of quasiconformal mappings in dimension two, a tool which seems very difficult to extend to this more general setting where complicated integrability conditions are present.

Another provocative question arising from this theory is to what extent what the authors call “Weil-Petersson” is a mapping class group invariant Kähler geometry on the higher Teichmüller spaces.

Despite the length of the paper (211 pages), it is clearly written. The 30-page introduction is particularly helpful for an overview of the theory. Although parts of the paper are somewhat speculative, this paper contains a wealth of interesting new ideas and inter-relationships between several areas of mathematics. Undoubtedly this work will strongly impact and inspire future research.

Reviewed by *William Goldman*

References

1. I. Biswas, P. Ares-Gastesi and S. Govindarajan, Parabolic Higgs bundles and Teichmüller spaces for punctured surfaces, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **349** (1997), no. 4, 1551–1560, alg-geom/9510011. [MR1407481 \(97m:32031\)](#)
2. A. A. Beilinson and V. G. Drinfeld, *Opers*, math.AG/0501398.
3. A. Berenstein and D. Kazhdan, Geometric and unipotent crystals, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, Special volume, part II (2000), 188–236. [MR1826254 \(2003b:17013\)](#)
4. A. Berenstein and A. Zelevinsky, Tensor product multiplicities, canonical bases and totally positive algebras, *Invent. Math.*, **143** (2001), no. 1, 77–128, math.RT/9912012. [MR1802793 \(2002c:17005\)](#)
5. A. Berenstein, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Parametrizations of canonical bases and totally positive matrices, *Adv. Math.*, **122** (1996), no. 1, 49–149. [MR1405449 \(98j:17008\)](#)
6. A. Berenstein, S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras. III: Upper bounds and double Bruhat cells, *Duke Math. J.*, **126** (2005), no. 1, 1–52, math.RT/0305434. [MR2110627 \(2005i:16065\)](#)
7. L. Bers, *Universal Teichmüller space*, Analytic Methods in Mathematical Physics (Sympos., Indiana Univ., Bloomington, Ind., 1968), pp. 65–83, Gordon and Breach (1970). [MR0349988 \(50 #2481\)](#)
8. L. Bers, On the boundaries of Teichmüller spaces and on Kleinian groups, *Ann. Math.*, **91** (1970), 670–600. [MR0271333 \(42 #6216\)](#)
9. F. Bonahon, The geometry of Teichmüller space via geodesic currents, *Invent. Math.*, **92** (1988), no. 1, 139–162. [MR0931208 \(90a:32025\)](#)
10. N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras*, Chapters 4–6, translated from the 1968 French original by A. Pressley, Elements of Mathematics (Berlin), Springer, Berlin (2002). [MR1890629 \(2003a:17001\)](#)
11. M. Borovoi, Abelianization of the second nonabelian Galois cohomology, *Duke Math. J.*, **72** (1993), 217–239. [MR1242885 \(94j:11042\)](#)
12. J.-J. Brylinski and P. Deligne, Central extensions of reductive groups by K_2 , *Publ. Math., Inst.*

- Hautes Étud. Sci.*, **94** (2001), 5–85. [MR1896177 \(2004a:20049\)](#)
13. N. Chriss and V. Ginzburg, *Representation Theory and Complex Geometry*, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA (1997). [MR1433132 \(98i:22021\)](#)
 14. L. O. Chekhov and V. V. Fock, Quantum Teichmüller spaces, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.*, **120** (1999), no. 3, 511–528, [math.QA/9908165](#). [MR1737362 \(2001g:32034\)](#)
 15. K. Corlette, Flat G-bundles with canonical metrics, *J. Differ. Geom.*, **28** (1988), 361–382. [MR0965220 \(89k:58066\)](#)
 16. P. Deligne, Équations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers, Springer Lect. Notes Math., vol. 163 (1970). [MR0417174 \(54 #5232\)](#)
 17. V. G. Drinfeld and V. V. Sokolov, Lie algebras and equations of Korteweg-de Vries type, *Curr. Probl. Math.*, **24** (1984), 81–180, in Russian. [MR0760998 \(86h:58071\)](#)
 18. S. Donaldson, Twisted harmonic maps and the self-duality equations, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **55** (1987), 127–131. [MR0887285 \(88g:58040\)](#)
 19. H. Esnault, B. Kahn, M. Levine and E. Viehweg, The Arason invariant and mod 2 algebraic cycles, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **11** (1998), no. 1, 73–118. [MR1460391 \(98d:14010\)](#)
 20. V. V. Fock, Dual Teichmüller spaces, [dg-ga/9702018](#).
 21. V. V. Fock and A. A. Rosly, Poisson structure on moduli of flat connections on Riemann surfaces and r -matrix, *Transl., Ser. 2, Amer. Math. Soc.*, **191** (1999), 67–86, [math.QA/9802054](#). [MR1730456 \(2001k:53167\)](#)
 22. V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm, [math.AG/0311245](#).
 23. V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, Moduli spaces of convex projective structures on surfaces, to appear in *Adv. Math.* (2006), [math.AG/0405348](#). [cf. MR2233852](#)
 24. V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, Dual Teichmüller and lamination spaces, to appear in the *Handbook on Teichmüller theory*, [math.AG/0510312](#). [cf. MR 2008k:32033](#)
 25. V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, *Cluster \mathcal{X} -Varieties, Amalganations, and Poisson-Lie Groups*, Progr. Math., Birkhäuser, volume dedicated to V. G. Drinfeld, [math.RT/0508408](#). [MR2263192 \(2008b:22009\)](#)
 26. V. V. Fock and A. B. Goncharov, to appear.
 27. S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Double Bruhat cells and total positivity, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **12** (1999), no. 2, 335–380, [math.RA/9912128](#). [MR1652878 \(2001f:20097\)](#)
 28. S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras, I, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **15** (2002), no. 2, 497–529, [math.RT/0104151](#). [MR1887642 \(2003f:16050\)](#)
 29. S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, Cluster algebras, II: Finite type classification, *Invent. Math.*, **154** (2003), no. 1, 63–121, [math.RA/0208229](#). [MR2004457 \(2004m:17011\)](#)
 30. S. Fomin and A. Zelevinsky, The Laurent phenomenon. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, **28** (2002), no. 2, 119–144, [math.CO/0104241](#). [MR1888840 \(2002m:05013\)](#)
 31. A. M. Gabrielov, I. M. Gelfand and M. V. Losik, Combinatorial computation of characteristic classes, I, II. (Russian), *Funkts. Anal. Prilozh.*, **9** (1975), no. 2, 12–28; no. 3, 5–26. [MR0410758 \(53 #14504a\)](#)
 32. F. R. Gantmacher and M. G. Krein, *Oscillation Matrices and Kernels and Small Vibrations of Mechanical Systems*, revised edition of the 1941 Russian original.

33. F. R. Gantmacher, M. G. Krein, Sur les Matrices Oscillatoires, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, **201** (1935), AMS Chelsea Publ., Providence, RI (2002).
34. M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, Cluster algebras and Poisson geometry, *Mosc. Math. J.*, **3** (2003), no. 3, 899–934, math.QA/0208033. [MR2078567 \(2005i:53104\)](#)
35. M. Gekhtman, M. Shapiro and A. Vainshtein, Cluster algebras and Weil–Petersson forms, *Duke Math. J.*, **127** (2005), no. 2, 291–311, math.QA/0309138. [MR2130414 \(2006d:53103\)](#)
36. O. Guichard, Sur les représentations de groupes de surface, preprint.
37. W. Goldman, The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces, *Adv. Math.*, **54** (1984), no. 2, 200–225. [MR0762512 \(86i:32042\)](#)
38. W. Goldman, Convex real projective structures on compact surfaces, *J. Differ. Geom.*, **31** (1990), 126–159. [MR1053346 \(91b:57001\)](#)
39. A. B. Goncharov, Geometry of configurations, polylogarithms, and motivic cohomology, *Adv. Math.*, **114** (1995), no. 2, 197–318. [MR1348706 \(96g:19005\)](#)
40. A. B. Goncharov, *Polylogarithms and motivic Galois groups*, Motives (Seattle, WA, 1991), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 55, part 2, pp. 43–96, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1994). [MR1265551 \(94m:19003\)](#)
41. A. B. Goncharov, *Explicit Construction of Characteristic Classes, I*, M. Gelfand Seminar, Adv. Soviet Math., vol. 16, part 1, pp. 169–210, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI (1993). [MR1237830 \(95c:57045\)](#)
42. A. B. Goncharov, Deninger’s conjecture of L-functions of elliptic curves at $s = 3$. Algebraic geometry, 4. *J. Math. Sci.*, **81** (1996), no. 3, 2631–2656, alg-geom/9512016. [MR1420221 \(98c:19002\)](#)
43. A. B. Goncharov, Polylogarithms, regulators and Arakelov motivic complexes, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18** (2005), no. 1, 1–6; math.AG/0207036. [MR2114816 \(2006b:11067\)](#)
44. A. B. Goncharov and Yu. I. Manin, Multiple ζ -motives and moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$, *Compos. Math.*, **140** (2004), no. 1, 1–14, math.AG/0204102. [MR2004120 \(2005c:11090\)](#)
45. J. Harer, The virtual cohomological dimension of the mapping class group of an orientable surface, *Invent. Math.*, **84** (1986), no. 1, 157–176. [MR0830043 \(87c:32030\)](#)
46. N.J. Hitchin, Lie groups and Teichmüller space, *Topology*, **31** (1992), no. 3, 449–473. [MR1174252 \(93e:32023\)](#)
47. N. J. Hitchin, The self-duality equation on a Riemann surface, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **55** (1987), 59–126. [MR0887284 \(89a:32021\)](#)
48. R. M. Kashaev, Quantization of Teichmüller spaces and the quantum dilogarithm, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, **43** (1998), no. 2, 105–115. [MR1607296 \(99m:32021\)](#)
49. I. Kra, *Deformation spaces*, A Crash Course on Kleinian Groups (Lectures at a Special Session, Annual Winter Meeting, Amer. Math. Soc., San Francisco, Calif., 1974), Lect. Notes Math., vol. 400, pp. 48–70, Springer, Berlin (1974). [MR0402122 \(53 #5943\)](#)
50. M. Kontsevich, *Formal (non)commutative symplectic geometry*, The Gelfand Mathematical Seminars 1990–1992, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA (1993), 173–187. [MR1247289 \(94i:58212\)](#)
51. F. Labourie, Anosov flows, surface groups and curves in projective spaces, preprint, Dec. 8 (2003). cf. [MR 2007c:20101](#)

52. G. Lusztig, *Total positivity in reductive groups*, Lie Theory and Geometry, Progr. Math., vol. 123, pp. 531–568, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA (1994). [MR1327548 \(96m:20071\)](#)
53. G. Lusztig, *Total positivity and canonical bases*, Algebraic Groups and Lie Groups, Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser., vol. 9, pp. 281–295, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1997). [MR1635687 \(2000j:20089\)](#)
54. C. McMullen, Iteration on Teichmüller space, *Invent. Math.*, **99** (1990), no. 2, 425–454. [MR1031909 \(91a:57008\)](#)
55. J. Milnor, *Introduction to algebraic K-theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, no. 72. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ; University of Tokyo Press, Tokyo (1971). [MR0349811 \(50 #2304\)](#)
56. I. Nikolaev and E. Zhuzhoma, Flows on 2-dimensional manifolds, Springer Lect. Notes Math., vol. 1705 (1999). [MR1707298 \(2001b:37065\)](#)
57. R. C. Penner, The decorated Teichmüller space of punctured surfaces, *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **113** (1987), no. 2, 299–339. [MR0919235 \(89h:32044\)](#)
58. R. C. Penner, Weil–Petersson volumes, *J. Differ. Geom.*, **35** (1992), no. 3, 559–608. [MR1163449 \(93d:32029\)](#)
59. R. C. Penner, Universal constructions in Teichmüller theory, *Adv. Math.*, **98** (1993), no. 2, 143–215. [MR1213724 \(94k:32032\)](#)
60. R. C. Penner, *The universal Ptolemy group and its completions*, Geometric Galois Actions, **2**, 293–312, Lond. Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser., 243, Cambridge Univ. Press (1997). [MR1653016 \(99j:32024\)](#)
61. R. C. Penner and J. L. Harer, *Combinatorics of train tracks*, Ann. Math. Studies, **125**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ (1992). [MR1144770 \(94b:57018\)](#)
62. I. J. Schoenberg, Convex domains and linear combinations of continuous functions, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **39** (1933), 273–280. [MR1562598](#)
63. I. J. Schoenberg, Über variationsvermindernde lineare Transformationen, *Math. Z.*, **32** (1930), 321–322. [MR1545169](#)
64. C. Simpson, Constructing variations of Hodge structures using Yang–Mills theory and applications to uniformization, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **1** (1988), 867–918. [MR0944577 \(90e:58026\)](#)
65. J.-P. Serre, *Cohomologie Galoisienne* (French), with a contribution by J.-L. Verdier, Lect. Notes Math., no. 5, 3rd edn., v+212pp., Springer, Berlin, New York (1965). [MR0201444 \(34 #1328\)](#)
66. K. Strebel, *Quadratic Differentials*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1984). [MR0743423 \(86a:30072\)](#)
67. P. Sherman and A. Zelevinsky, Positivity and canonical bases in rank 2 cluster algebras of finite and affine types, *Mosc. Math. J.*, **4** (2004), no. 4, 947–974, math.RT/0307082. [MR2124174 \(2006c:16052\)](#)
68. A. A. Suslin, *Homology of GL_n , characteristic classes and Milnor K-theory*, Algebraic Geometry and its Applications, Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova, **165** (1984), 188–204. [MR0752941 \(86f:11090b\)](#)
69. W. Thurston, *The geometry and topology of three-manifolds*, Princeton University Notes, <http://www.msri.org/publications/books/gt3m>.
70. A. M. Whitney, A reduction theorem for totally positive matrices, *J. Anal. Math.*, **2** (1952),

88–92. [MR0053173 \(14,732c\)](#)

71. S. Wolpert, Geometry of the Weil–Petersson completion of the Teichmüller space, *Surv. Differ. Geom., Suppl. J. Differ. Geom.*, **VIII** (2002), 357–393. [MR2039996 \(2005h:32032\)](#)

Note: This list reflects references listed in the original paper as accurately as possible with no attempt to correct errors.

© Copyright American Mathematical Society 2009