

TS Mean Estimation

Benjamin Kedem

March 2021

A Note about Summation

Recall $R_k = R_{-k}$. Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^N R_{s-t} &= NR_0 + (N-1)R_1 + (N-1)R_{-1} \\ &+ (N-2)R_2 + (N-2)R_{-2} \\ &+ (N-3)R_3 + (N-3)R_{-3} \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ &\cdot \\ &+ [N - (N-1)]R_{N-1} + [N - (N-1)]R_{-(N-1)} \\ &= \sum_{r=-(N-1)}^{N-1} (N - |r|)R_r \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^N R_{s-t} = \sum_{r=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|r|}{N}\right) R_r$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{s=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^N e^{i\lambda(s-t)} &= \sum_{r=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|r|}{N}\right) e^{i\lambda r} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{t=1}^N e^{it\lambda} \right|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and observe that

$$\sum_{t=1}^N e^{it\lambda} = e^{i\lambda} \frac{1 - e^{i\lambda N}}{1 - e^{i\lambda}} = e^{i\lambda} \frac{e^{i\lambda N/2} e^{-i\lambda N/2} - e^{i\lambda N}}{e^{i\lambda/2} e^{-i\lambda/2} - e^{i\lambda}} = e^{i\frac{1}{2}\lambda(N+1)} \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}\lambda N}{\sin \frac{1}{2}\lambda}$$

It follows that

$$\left| \sum_{t=1}^N e^{it\lambda} \right|^2 = \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda N}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda}$$

On Variance of a Time Series Average

Given a zero-mean stationary time series z_1, \dots, z_N , a natural estimate of the mean (here 0) is

$$\bar{Z} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N Z_i$$

Clearly $E\bar{Z} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\bar{Z}) &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N E Z_i Z_j = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N R_{i-j} \\ &= \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{r=-(N-1)}^{N-1} (N - |r|) R_r = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|r|}{N}\right) R_r \end{aligned}$$

Fact: Cesàro summation of a convergent sum is the sum: If $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r < \infty$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{r}{N}\right) a_r = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} a_r$$

It follows that if

$$\sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} R_r < \infty$$

then

$$N \text{Var}(\bar{Z}) \rightarrow \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} R_r$$

Fact: If $f(\lambda)$ is continuous then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} N \text{Var}(\bar{Z}) = 2\pi f(0)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} N \text{Var}(\bar{Z}) &= \sum_{r=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|r|}{N}\right) R_r = \sum_{r=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|r|}{N}\right) \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ir\lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda N}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda} f(\lambda) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Define the *Fejér kernel*

$$W_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda N}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-a}^a W_N(\lambda) d\lambda &= \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{2\pi N} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda N}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_{s=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^N e^{i\lambda(s-t)} d\lambda \\ &= \int_{-a}^a \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r=-(N-1)}^{N-1} \left(1 - \frac{|r|}{N}\right) \cos(\lambda r) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left[a + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{N-1} \frac{N-r}{Nr} \sin(ar) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with $a = \pi$

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda = 1$$

Since $|\sin x| \leq 1$

$$W_N(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \frac{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda N}{\sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi N \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \lambda} \rightarrow 0, \quad \lambda \neq 0$$

More precisely, since $\sin \frac{1}{2} \lambda$ is increasing in $[0, \pi)$, we have for $a > 0$

$$\int_a^{\pi} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \int_a^{\pi} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi N \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} a} = \frac{\pi - a}{2\pi N \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} a} \rightarrow 0, \quad N \rightarrow \infty$$

By symmetry we have:

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{-a} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^{\pi} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda = 0, \quad 0 < a \leq \pi$$

So, we have

$$1 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda = \int_{-\pi}^{-a} + \int_{-a}^a + \int_a^{\pi} \rightarrow \int_{-a}^a$$

Now, by continuity of $f(\lambda)$ at 0, we have for $\delta > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} f(\lambda) W_N(\lambda) d\lambda - f(0) \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda \right| &= \left| \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} [f(\lambda) - f(0)] W_N(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \\ &\leq \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |f(\lambda) - f(0)| W_N(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \epsilon \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda \rightarrow \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and by continuity of $f(\lambda)$

$$\left| \int_{-\pi}^{-\delta} f(\lambda) W_N(\lambda) d\lambda + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} f(\lambda) W_N(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \leq K \left| \int_{-\pi}^{-\delta} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda + \int_{\delta}^{\pi} W_N(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \rightarrow 0$$

Therefore, as N increases

$$N \text{Var}(\bar{Z}) = 2\pi \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} W_N(\lambda) f(\lambda) d\lambda \rightarrow 2\pi f(0)$$

Thus, we have shown rigorously that $W_N(\lambda)$ approaches a Dirac delta function as $N \rightarrow \infty$.