

PF $X = \eta^i \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}$ $Y = \eta^j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j}$, since $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k}$ (6)

form a basis for $T_F U$, it suffices to

compute $\langle \nabla_X Y, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k} \rangle$ & see they only depend on g

$$\nabla_X Y = \eta^i \nabla_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}} \left(\eta^j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j} \right) = \eta^i \frac{\partial \eta^j}{\partial u^i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j} + \sum^i \eta^j \nabla_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j}$$

$$\langle \nabla_X Y, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k} \rangle = \sum^i \frac{\partial \eta^j}{\partial u^i} \langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k} \rangle + \sum^i \eta^j \underbrace{\langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k} \rangle}_{=}$$

$$= \sum^i \frac{\partial \eta^j}{\partial u^i} g_{jk} + \sum^i \eta^j \Gamma_{ij,k}$$

$$\Gamma_{ij,k} = \Gamma_{ji,k}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} g_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} \langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j} \rangle = \langle \nabla_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j} \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}, \nabla_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j} \rangle$$

$$= \Gamma_{ki,j} + \Gamma_{jk,i}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} g_{ki} = \Gamma_{jk,i} + \Gamma_{ij,k} \Rightarrow 2\Gamma_{ij,k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} g_{jk} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u^j} g_{ki} - \frac{\partial}{\partial u^k} g_{ij}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} g_{jk} = \Gamma_{ij,k} + \Gamma_{ki,j}$$

depends only on g



Def 1) $\Gamma_{ij,k} := I \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k} \right)$

2) $\nabla_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j} = \sum_k \Gamma_{ij,k} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k}$

$\left(\sum_k \right) \leftarrow$ Einstein notation

Rmk Recall if $X = \sum z^i \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}$ $Y = \sum \eta^j \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^j}$

$I(X, Y) = \sum_{i,j} z^i \eta^j g_{ij} = \sum_i z^i \eta^i g_{ii}$
 in Einstein notation

Thus, $\Gamma_{ij,k} = \sum_m \Gamma_{ij}^m g_{mk}$, equivalently, $\sum_k g^{mk} \Gamma_{ij,k} = \Gamma_{ij}^m$
 $(g^{mk}) = (g_{mk})^{-1}$

Upshot

$\Gamma_{ij,k} = -g_{ij,k} + g_{jk,i} + g_{ki,j}$
 $+ \nabla_X Y = \sum_i \left(\frac{\partial \eta^k}{\partial u^i} + \eta^j \Gamma_{ij}^k \right) \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k}$

Cor let $f: U \rightarrow V \subseteq S$ a chart, then we have

1) $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u^i \partial u^j} = \Gamma_{ij}^k \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k} + h_{ij}^k$ (Gauss formula)

2) $\frac{\partial v}{\partial u^i} = -h_{ij}^k g^{jk} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k} = -h_{ij}^k \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^k}$ (Weingarten formula)

Pf 1) is just $D_x Y = \nabla_x Y + \text{II}(x, Y) \sim$

in coordinates + the fact that $D_{\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i}} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^i} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial u^i \partial u^i}$

2) is just $Dv = -L \circ DF$ in coordinates

for $n=2$ we can write this in the

Gauss frame $\frac{\partial F}{\partial u^1}, \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^2}, \nu$ as

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^1} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^2} \\ \nu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma_{i1}^1 & \Gamma_{i1}^2 & h_{i1} \\ \Gamma_{i2}^1 & \Gamma_{i2}^2 & h_{i2} \\ -h_{i1}^1 & -h_{i1}^2 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^1} \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial u^2} \\ \nu \end{pmatrix}$$

Analogue of Frenet eqns.

Def 1) A property of S is intrinsic if it depends only on $(g_{ij}) = g$ the metric / first fundamental form

2) a diffeomorphism ~~is an isometry~~ $\varphi: S \rightarrow T$ is an isometry if for all $p \in S$ &

vectors $X_1, X_2 \in T_p S,$

$$\langle X_1, X_2 \rangle_p = \langle d\varphi_p(X_1), d\varphi_p(X_2) \rangle_{\varphi(p)}$$

3) S & T are said to be locally isometric if at $p \in S$ & $q \in T$ there exist ^{open} neighborhoods $U \subseteq S$, $V \subseteq T$ & an isometry

$$\psi: U \rightarrow V \quad \psi(p) = \psi(q)$$

4) S & T are locally isometric if there exist local isometries around each point of S & T .

Idea A diffeo $\varphi: S \rightarrow T$ induces an identification with $T T = \coprod_{q \in T} T_q T$

via $d\varphi_p: T_p S \xrightarrow{\sim} T_{\varphi(p)} T$

thus if T has metric g_T then we obtain a metric

~~via~~ $\varphi^* g_T$ the pullback

metric on S via $(\varphi^* g_T)(X, Y) = g_T(d\varphi(X), d\varphi(Y))$

if S has a metric g_S , then isometry means $\boxed{\varphi^* g_T = g_S}$

Upshot A property is intrinsic iff it is a (local) isometry invariant

In particular, ∇ the covariant deriv is an isometry invariant.