

Lecture 8: Hom schemes, CM regularity

09/30/2019

1 Hom schemes

Let X and Y be two schemes over S . The hom functor $\mathcal{H}om_S(X, Y) : \text{Sch}_S \rightarrow \text{Set}$ is given by

$$T \mapsto \{\text{morphisms } X_T \rightarrow Y_T \text{ over } T\}.$$

Theorem 1. *Suppose X and Y are projective over S with $X \rightarrow S$ flat. Then $\mathcal{H}om_S(X, Y)$ is representable by a quasi-projective scheme $\text{Hom}_S(X, Y)$ over S .*

Proof. Given $f : X_T \rightarrow Y_T$, we have the graph $\Gamma_f : X_T \rightarrow X_T \times_T Y_T = (X \times_S Y)_T$ which is a closed embedding. Now $\text{im}(\Gamma_f) \cong X_T$ is flat over T by assumption so it defines a map $T \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{(X \times_S Y)/S}$. This construction is compatible with basechange so we obtain a natural transformation of functors

$$\mathcal{H}om_S(X, Y) \rightarrow H_{(X \times_S Y)/S}.$$

Since a morphism is determined by its graph, this is a subfunctor. Moreover, we can characterize the graphs of morphisms as exactly those closed subschemes $Z \subset X_T \times_T Y_T$ such that the projection $Z \rightarrow X_T$ is an isomorphism. This identifies $\mathcal{H}om_S(X, Y)$ with the subfunctor of $H_{(X \times_S Y)/S}$ given by

$$T \mapsto \{\text{closed subsets } Z \subset X_T \times_T Y_T \mid Z \rightarrow T \text{ flat and proper, } Z \rightarrow X_T \text{ is an isomorphism}\}.$$

We will prove this is representable by an open subscheme of $\text{Hilb}_{(X \times_S Y)/S}$.

We can consider the universal family $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \text{Hilb}_{(X \times_S Y)/S}$ which is a closed subscheme of $X \times_S Y \times_S \text{Hilb}_{(X \times_S Y)/S}$. Then \mathcal{Z} comes with a projection $\pi : \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow X \times_S \text{Hilb}_{(X \times_S Y)/S}$. Now we consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Z} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \times_S \text{Hilb}_{(X \times_S Y)/S} \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \text{Hilb}_{(X \times_S Y)/S} & \xlongequal{\quad} & \text{Hilb}_{(X \times_S Y)/S} \end{array}$$

Then the required open subscheme is given by the following lemma.

Proposition 1. *Let $T = \text{Spec } R$ be the spectrum of a Noetherian local ring and let $0 \in T$ be the closed point. Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be flat and proper and $g : Y \rightarrow T$ proper. Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism such that $p_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ is an isomorphism. Then $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since X is proper and Y is separated over T , the morphism $p : X \rightarrow Y$ must be proper. Moreover, since g is proper, every closed point of Y lies in Y_0 . Furthermore, since p_0 is an isomorphism, then p has finite fibers over closed points of Y so p is quasi-finite. Indeed since p is proper, the fiber dimension is upper-semicontinuous on Y and it is 0 on closed points. Therefore r is finite, and in particular, affine. This implies that $R^i p_* \mathcal{F} = 0$ for any coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} and $i \geq 1$. Now the result follows if we know that f is flat. Indeed in this case, $p_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is locally free of rank one by cohomology and base change. On the other hand, the natural map $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow p_* \mathcal{O}_X$ is an isomorphism at all closed points $y \in Y_0 \subset Y$ and since both source and target are line bundles, it must be an isomorphism. Then, since p is affine, we have

$$X = \text{Spec}_Y f_* \mathcal{O}_X = \text{Spec}_Y \mathcal{O}_Y = Y.$$

Thus, it suffices to prove the following that p is flat. We will use the following lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism of locally Noetherian T -schemes over a locally Noetherian scheme T . Let $x \in X$ a point in the fiber X_t for $t \in T$ and set $y = p(x)$ its image in the fiber Y_t . Then the following are equivalent:*

1. X is flat over T at x and $p_t : X_t \rightarrow Y_t$ is flat at $x \in X_t$;
2. Y is flat over T at y and p is flat at $x \in X$.

Proof. Consider the sequence local ring homomorphisms

$$\mathcal{O}_{T,t} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}.$$

Let $I = \mathfrak{m}_t \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. Suppose (1) holds. Then $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{T,t}$ module and $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}/I\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}/I$ -module. Consider the composition

$$\mathfrak{m}_t \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow I \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}.$$

The first map is surjective by right exactness of tensor products and the composition is injective by since $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{T,t}$ so both maps are in fact injections. Thus

$$\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}}(\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}/I, \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Since $I \subset \mathfrak{m}_y$, then I annihilates the residue field $k(y)$ and one can check that Equation (1) and the assumptions imply that $\text{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}}(k(y), \mathcal{O}_{X,x}) = 0$ by the following lemma we will leave as an exercise.

Lemma 2. *Suppose R is a Noetherian ring and $I \subset R$ is a proper ideal. Let M be an R -module such that M/IM is a flat R/I -module and such that*

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(R/I, M) = 0.$$

Then for any I -torsion R -module N ,

$$\text{Tor}_1^R(N, M) = 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ -module by the local criterion for flatness.

Since everything is local, $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is in fact faithfully flat over $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$. Now we want to show that $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{T,t}}(k(t), \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) = 0$. Pulling back the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T,t} \rightarrow k(t) \rightarrow 0.$$

to $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ gives us

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{T,t}}(k(t), \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_t \otimes \mathcal{O}_{Y,y} \rightarrow I \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$, then

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{T,t}}(k(t), \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathfrak{m}_t \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow I \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow 0.$$

We saw above that the second map is injective so

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{T,t}}(k(t), \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X,x} = 0$$

but $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is faithfully flat over $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ so $\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathcal{O}_{T,t}}(k(t), \mathcal{O}_{Y,y}) = 0$ and $\mathcal{O}_{Y,y}$ is flat over $\mathcal{O}_{T,t}$.

For the converse, suppose (2) holds. Then $Y \rightarrow T$ is flat at $y \in Y$ and $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is flat at $x \in X$ so the composition $X \rightarrow T$ is flat at $x \in X$. Moreover, p_t is the pullback p to Y_t and flatness is stable under basechange so p_t is flat at $x \in X$. □

Corollary 1. *Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be flat and proper and $g : Y \rightarrow T$ proper over a Noetherian scheme T . Let $p : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism. Then there exists an open subscheme $U \subset T$ such that for any T' and $\varphi : T' \rightarrow T$, φ factors through U if and only if $\varphi^*p : X_{T'} \rightarrow Y_{T'}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The locus where $p : X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism is open on the target Y so let $Z \subset Y$ be the closed subset over which p is not an isomorphism. Since g is proper, $g(Z) \subset T$ is closed. Let $U \subset T$ be its complement. By the proposition, a point $t \in T$ is contained in U if and only if the the map on the fibers $p_t : X_t \rightarrow Y_t$ is an isomorphism. Since this is a fiberwise condition on $t \in T$, it is clear that U satisfies the required universal property. □

2 Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity

We will now discuss the first main ingredient in the proof of representability of Hilbert and Quot functors.

Theorem 2. *(Uniform Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity) For any polynomial P and integers m, n , there exists an integer $N = N(P, m, n)$ such that for any field k and any coherent subsheaf of $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_k^n}^{\oplus m}$ with Hilbert polynomial P we have the following. For any $d \geq N$,*

1. $H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(d)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 1$,

2. $\mathcal{F}(d)$ is generated by global sections, and
3. $H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(d)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(d+1))$ is surjective.

To prove this we will define a more general notion of the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of a sheaf \mathcal{F} on projective space.

Definition 1. (CM regularity) A coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathbb{P}_k^n is said to be m -regular if

$$H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(m-i)) = 0$$

for all $i > 0$.

The notion of CM regularity is well adapted to running inductive arguments by taking a hyperplane section.

Proposition 2. Let \mathcal{F} be m -regular. Then

1. $H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(d)) = 0$ for all $d \geq m - i$ and $i > 0$, that is, \mathcal{F} is m' regular for all $m' \geq m$,
2. $H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(d)) \otimes H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{O}(1)) \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(d+1))$ is surjective for all $d \geq m$.
3. $\mathcal{F}(d)$ is globally generated for all $d \geq m$, and

Proof. The definition of m -regularity and the conclusions of the proposition can all be checked after passing to a field extension since field extensions are faithfully flat so we may suppose the field k is infinite. Now we will induct on the dimension n .

If $n = 0$ the results trivially hold since all higher cohomology vanishes, all sheaves are globally generated and $\mathcal{O}(1) = \mathcal{O}$. Suppose $n > 0$ and let $H \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ be a general hyperplane.¹ Now consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m-i-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(m-i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_H(m-i) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{F}_H = \mathcal{F}|_H$ is the restriction. Taking the long exact sequence of cohomology yields

$$\dots \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(m-i)) \rightarrow H^i(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}_H(m-i)) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(\mathbb{P}_k^n, \mathcal{F}(m-i-1)) \rightarrow \dots,$$

The first and last terms are 0 for all $i > 0$ by assumption so $H^i(H, \mathcal{F}_H(m-i)) = 0$ for all $i > 0$. That is, \mathcal{F}_H is m -regular.

We will continue next time.

□

¹Here general means that H avoids all associated points of \mathcal{F} . This is where we use the infinite field assumption.