

Thm (Base point free theorem)

(X, Δ) projective klt, D nef Cartier

$aD - (K_X + \Delta)$ is big + nef for some $a > 0$

Then D is semi ample.

Thm (Non vanishing theorem)

$(X, -G)$ projective klt, D nef

s.t. $aD - (K_X - G)$ is big + nef

-G not necessarily a boundary

$\Rightarrow H^0(X, mD + \lceil G \rceil) \neq 0$ for $m > 0$

Rmk: $\lceil G \rceil$ effective, & if $-G$ is a boundary, $\Rightarrow \lceil G \rceil = 0$

Last time

non vanishing \Rightarrow basepoint free

Strategy

(X, Δ) take $f: Y \rightarrow X$ a log resolution

Want

$$bf^*D - K_Y = N(b, c) + \underbrace{F}_{\substack{\text{smooth irreducible} \\ \text{reduced}}} + \underbrace{\Delta_Y - E}_{\substack{\text{effective} \\ \text{exceptional}}} - \underbrace{A}_{\text{klt boundary}}$$

split bD into its moving + fixed parts, add discrepancies
 perturb by a small generic frameple

$$K_Y + F + [N(b, c)] = bF^*D + [A]$$

IF F shares no components with $N(b, c)$

then restriction to F ^{ample}

$$K_F + [N(b, c)]_F = (bF^*D + [A])|_F$$

1) left hand side has sections
 by induction

$$2) K_V \Rightarrow H^1(Y, K_Y + [N(b, c)]) = 0$$

\Rightarrow restriction map is surjective

so $bF^*D + [A]$ has sections
 on Y

3) we arrange it so that

$[A]$ is effective
 f -exceptional $+ f^*[G]$

$$\Rightarrow H^0(Y, bF^*D + [A]) = H^0(X, bD + [G])$$

Proof of non-vanishing

Step 1

without loss of generality,
 we can assume $aD - (K_X - G)$
 is ample & $(X - G)$ is snc

Let $f: X' \rightarrow X$ be a log resolution

$$f^*(K_X - G) = K_{X'} - G' \quad f_* G' = G$$

the div of f over $(X, -G)$ are the same as those $(X', -G')$

$\Rightarrow (X', -G')$ is big

$$a f^* D - (K_{X'} - G') = f^*(aD - K_X + G)$$

big + nef

Pick some small f -exceptional effective

$$E = \sum p_j E_j \quad 0 < p_j \ll 1$$

s.t. $f^*(aD - K_X + G) - E$ is ample

$$G'' = G' - E$$

$$a f^* D - K_{X'} + G''$$

$$G' = G'' + \text{f-exceptionals}$$

$$\underline{H^0(X', m f^* D + \Gamma G'')} \subseteq H^0(X', m f^* D + \Gamma G')$$

" "

$$H^0(X, mD + \Gamma G)$$

Step 2 suppose $D \equiv 0$

$G \equiv K_X + A$ where A is ample

$$h^0(X, mD + \Gamma G) = \chi(mD + \Gamma G) = \chi(\Gamma G) \\ \begin{array}{l} \text{by kv vanishing} \\ \text{RR} \uparrow \\ \text{kv} \neq 0 \end{array} = h^0(X, \Gamma G)$$

$$(X-G) \text{ klt} \Rightarrow \Gamma G \geq 0$$

so wlog assume $D \not\equiv 0$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a curve $C \subseteq X$
s.t. $D \cdot C > 0$

Step 3 pick some $x \in X$ away
from G

Claim $\forall q \gg 0$
 $\exists \mu(q) \in |qD - K_X + G|$

with $\text{mult}_x \mu(q) > \underbrace{2 \dim X}_d$

Since D is
Nef \Rightarrow

$D^e \cdot A^{d-e} \gg 0$ for $e \gg 0$
& A ample

for $q \gg 0$

$$\underbrace{(qD - k_x + G)^d}_{N(q)} = \underbrace{[(q-a)D]_{\text{ref}} + \underbrace{(aD - k_x + G)_{\text{ample}}}_{d-1}}^d$$

$$\Rightarrow \geq d(q-a)D + (aD - k_x + G)$$

Since $\exists C$ s.t. $D \cdot C > 0$

& since *ample*

$$\Rightarrow (aD - k_x + G)^{d-1} \equiv C' + E \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \uparrow \\ E \text{ effective} \end{array} \right.$$

s.t. $D \cdot C' > 0$

in fact, as $q \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(qD - k_x + G)^d \rightarrow \infty$$

$$h^0(t(qD - k_x + G)) \geq \frac{t^d}{d!} N(q) + \text{lower order terms}$$

Codimension of the locus of divisors with $\text{mult} > 2 \dim X$

grows as $\frac{t^d}{d!} (2d)^d + \text{lower order}$

So for q & t large, the difference becomes large \Rightarrow

$$N(q, t) \in |t(qD - k_x + G)|$$

with $\text{mult}_x M(q, t) > 2d$

$$M(q) := \frac{M(q, t)}{t} \stackrel{\equiv}{\sim} \mathbb{Q} \quad qD - k + G$$

has multiplicity $> 2d$

Step 4

pick $F = F(q) : Y \rightarrow X$

a log resolution of $(X, G + M(q))$

s.t. F factors through

$$Bl_x X \rightarrow X$$

$$1) \quad K_Y = F^*(K_X - G) + \sum b_j F_j \quad b_j > -1$$

$$2) \quad \frac{1}{2} F^*(a) + G - k - \sum p_j F_j \quad \text{is ample} \\ \text{for } \alpha p_j < 1$$

$$3) \quad F^* M(q) = r_0 F_0 + \sum_{j \geq 1} r_j F_j$$

$F_0 = \text{exceptional of } Bl_x X$

$$r_0 > 2d$$

$$K_Y + \Delta_Y + \underline{F} + N(b, c) = bF^*D + E$$

$$N(b, c) = bF^*D - K_Y + \sum (-c r_j + b_j - p_j) F_j$$

$$= F^*(bD - K_X + G) - cF^*M(q) - \sum p_j F_j$$

$$\equiv f^*(bD - k_x + G - cQD + ck - cG) - \sum p_j F_j$$

$$\equiv f^*([b - cQ - a(1-c)]D + (1-c)(aD - k + G)) - \sum p_j F_j$$

$$\equiv f^* \left(\underbrace{(b - cQ - a(1-c))}_{\geq 0} D + \underbrace{(\frac{1}{2} - c)}_{\geq 0} (aD - k + G) \right) - \sum p_j F_j$$

by nef

$$\text{nef} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} f^*(aD - k + G) - \sum p_j F_j}_{\text{ample}}$$

So $N(b,c)$ is ample if

$$c \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad b \geq a + c(Q - a)$$

Step 5 let $c = \min \left\{ \frac{(1+b_j - p_j)}{r_j} \right\} > 0$

Pick p_j generically so that c is achieved exactly once

$$F_j = F$$

need to check that $c < \frac{1}{2}$

$$b_0 = d - 1 \quad r_0 > 2d$$

$$0 < c \leq \frac{d-\varepsilon}{2d} < \frac{1}{2} \quad \checkmark$$

$$N(b, c) = b f^* D - K_Y + \underbrace{\sum (-c r_j + b_j - p_j) F_j}_{A - F}$$

So ΓA is effective

$$K_Y + \underbrace{N(b, c) + F}_{f^* G} = b f^* D + A$$

$$f^* G = \sum g_j F_j \quad \text{if } F_j \text{ not exceptional}$$

$$(-c r_j + b_j - p_j) < b_j = g_j \quad \text{then } g_j = b_j$$

$$\Gamma A \sim f^* \Gamma G + \text{effective}$$

exceptionals \checkmark don't contribute to H^0

$$H^0(Y, b f^* D + \Gamma A)$$

$$\subseteq H^0(Y, b f^* D + f^* \Gamma G)$$

$$= H^0(X, b D + \Gamma G) \quad \neq 0$$

by KV vanishing

$$H^0(Y, b f^* D + \Gamma A) \Rightarrow H^0(F, b f^* D + \Gamma A) \neq 0$$

$$\begin{array}{l}
 (bF^*D + \tau A)|_F \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} K_F + N(b,c)|_F \\ \text{ample} + \\ \text{soc} \end{array} \right. \\
 \text{induction on dimension}
 \end{array}$$