

MATH 608K (Algebraic K -Theory)

Homework Assignment #4

K_2 and Symbols

Partial Solutions

Jonathan Rosenberg

1. Do Exercise 4.4.28 in the book. In other words, show the following about the quaternion algebras $A_F(a, b)$ (with basis $1, x, y, xy$, and relations $x^2 = a \in F^\times$, $y^2 = b \in F^\times$, and $xy = -yx$) over a field F of characteristic $\neq 2$:

(a) Show that $A_F(a, b) \cong A_F(b, a)$ is anti-isomorphic to itself, and thus defines an element of order 2 in the Brauer group of F . (What this means in concrete terms is that $A_F(a, b) \otimes_F A_F(a, b) \cong M_4(F)$.)

Solution. The usual anti-involution, $x \mapsto \bar{x} = -x$, $y \mapsto \bar{y} = -y$, $xy \mapsto \overline{xy} = -xy$, gives an isomorphism $A_F(a, b) \rightarrow A_F(a, b)^{\text{op}}$. But for any d -dimensional central simple algebra over F , $A \otimes_F A^{\text{op}} \cong M_d(F)$.

(b) Show that $A_F(a, b) \otimes_F A_F(a, c) \cong M_2(A_F(a, bc))$, for $a, b, c \in F^\times$, that $A_F(a, -a) \cong M_2(F)$, and that $A_F(a, 1-a) \cong M_2(F)$ for $a \neq 1$.

Solution. For the last two statements it suffices to prove the corresponding fact for the Hilbert symbol, since $A_F(a, b) \cong M_2(F)$ if and only if $(a, b)_F = 1$. But vanishing of $(a, -a)_F$ and of $(a, 1-a)_F$ is trivial, since $a \cdot 1^2 - a \cdot 1^2 = 0^2$ and $a \cdot 1^2 + (1-a) \cdot 1^2 = 1^2$.

The hard part of course is the first statement. One can prove it as follows. Let x and y be anti-commuting generators of $A_F(a, b)$, with $x^2 = a$, $y^2 = b$, and let u and v be anti-commuting generators of $A_F(a, c)$, with $u^2 = a$, $v^2 = c$. Then $x \otimes u$ and $x \otimes uv$ anti-commute in $A_F(a, b) \otimes_F A_F(a, c)$, and have squares $x^2 \otimes u^2 = a \otimes a = a^2(1 \otimes 1)$ and $x^2 \otimes uvuv = -x^2 \otimes u^2v^2 = -a \otimes ac = -a^2c(1 \otimes 1)$, so they generate a copy of $A_F(a^2, -a^2c)$ inside $A_F(a, b) \otimes_F A_F(a, c)$. But since a^2 is clearly a perfect square in F^\times , the Hilbert symbols $(a^2, -a^2c)_F$ is $+1$ and thus $A_F(a^2, -a^2c) \cong M_2(F)$. At the same time, $x \otimes 1$ and $y \otimes v$ anti-commute in $A_F(a, b) \otimes_F A_F(a, c)$, and have squares $x^2 \otimes 1 = a \otimes 1 = a(1 \otimes 1)$ and $y^2 \otimes v^2 = bc(1 \otimes 1)$, so they generate a copy of $A_F(a, bc)$ inside $A_F(a, b) \otimes_F A_F(a, c)$. Since $x \otimes 1$ and $y \otimes v$ each commute with $x \otimes u$ and $x \otimes uv$, the copies of $M_2(F)$ and of $A_F(a, bc)$ inside $A_F(a, b) \otimes_F A_F(a, c)$ commute with each other. So we get a homomorphism $A_F(a, bc) \otimes M_2(F) \rightarrow A_F(a, b) \otimes_F A_F(a, c)$. Since all algebras are simple and the dimensions agree, this must be an isomorphism.

(c) Show in this way that one gets a homomorphism $\{a, b\} \mapsto [A_F(a, b)]$ from $K_2(F)$ to a 2-torsion subgroup of the Brauer group of F , generated by stable isomorphism classes of quaternion algebras over F .

2. See if you can give an explicit calculation of $K_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))$, following the same outline as for $K_2(\mathbb{Q})$, except

that remember you want to replace ordinary primes by primes in the Euclidean ring of Gaussian integers. These primes, modulo multiplication by units, are: $1 + i$, which up to a unit is the same as $1 - i$, $a \pm bi$ with $a > b > 0$, $a^2 + b^2 = p$, when p is a prime $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, and ordinary primes $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

Solution. By the localization sequence, there is an exact sequence

$$K_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \rightarrow K_2(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_1(\mathbb{Z}[i]/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \rightarrow K_1(\mathbb{Q}(i)).$$

Here the sum is over all maximal ideals \mathfrak{p} of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$. There is one of these, $(1 + i)$, for which the quotient $\mathbb{Z}[i]/\mathfrak{p}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_2 , and over odd ordinary primes p , there are either one or two possibilities for \mathfrak{p} . Since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a Euclidean ring, $K_1(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \cong \mathbb{Z}[i]^\times \cong \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$, which maps injectively into $K_1(\mathbb{Q}(i)) \cong \mathbb{Q}(i)^\times$. Thus the map ∂ is surjective. But it is also known that $K_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ is generated by Steinberg symbols. Since $\mathbb{Z}[i]^\times \cong \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ is cyclic with generator $i = \sqrt{-1}$, $K_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ is thus the cyclic group generated by $\{i, i\}$. But $\{i, i\}^3 = \{i, i^3\} = \{i, -i\} = 1$, while $\{i, i\}^4 = \{i, i^4\} = \{i, 1\} = 1$, so $\{i, i\}^3 = \{i, i\}^4 = 1$, $\{i, i\} = 1$, and $K_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$ vanishes. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(\mathbb{Q}(i)) &\xrightarrow{\partial} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_1(\mathbb{Z}[i]/\mathfrak{p}) \cong \bigoplus_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} (\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2 \oplus \bigoplus_{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} \mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}} (C_{p-1} \times C_{p-1}) \oplus \bigoplus_{p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}} C_{p^2-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The explanation of the calculation is as follows. \mathbb{F}_2^\times is trivial anyway. Over $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, there are two primes $a \pm bi$ with $a^2 + b^2 = p$, $a > b > 0$, with $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(a \pm bi) \cong \mathbb{F}_p$, while $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ remains prime and gives a quotient $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p(i)$ isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{p^2} .

One can also arrive at the same result, without having to quote results about $K_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$, by mimicking the proof used for studying $K_2(\mathbb{Q})$. Let A_m be the subgroup of $K_2(\mathbb{Q}(i))$ generated by symbols $\{z, w\}$, with z and w non-zero Gaussian integers of norm $\leq m$. Then obviously $K_2(\mathbb{Q}(i)) = \varinjlim A_m$. We see that A_1 is generated by $\{i, i\}$, which vanishes as above. So A_2 is generated by $\{1 + i, -i\} = 1$ (since $(1 + i) + (-i) = 1$) and by $\{1 + i, 1 + i\}$. But the latter is $\{1 + i, -1 - i\}\{1 + i, -1\} = \{1 + i, (-i)^2\} = \{1 + i, -i\}^2 = 1$, so A_2 vanishes also. Then for $m > 2$, by factorization and bilinearity, it is clear that $A_m = A_{m-1}$ unless m is an odd prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ or the square of an odd prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. So the idea is to set up a homomorphism $(\mathbb{F}_p^\times)^2 \rightarrow A_p/A_{p-1}$ if p is a prime $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and a homomorphism $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times \rightarrow A_{p^2}/A_{p^2-1}$ if p is a prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Let's do the latter, say. We have $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(p) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p) + i(\mathbb{Z}/p) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[\sqrt{-1}] \cong \mathbb{F}_{p^2}$, so an element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times$ is represented by $z = x + iy$ with x and y integers, $|x|, |y| \leq \frac{p-1}{2}$, x and y not both 0. The norm of this element is $x^2 + y^2 < \frac{2(p-1)^2}{4} < p^2$. Thus $\{z, p\}$ is an element of A_p . Map the residue class of z in $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times$ to the class of $\{z, p\}$ modulo A_{p^2-1} . To show this is a homomorphism, suppose $zw = up + r$ with u and r having norm less than p^2 . (This is possible since $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is a Euclidean ring.) Then we have

$$1 = \left\{ 1 - \frac{up}{zw}, \frac{up}{zw} \right\} = \left\{ \frac{r}{zw}, \frac{up}{zw} \right\},$$

which by bilinearity is the same as

$$\{r, up\}\{zw, up\}^{-1}\{r, zw\}^{-1}\{zw, zw\}.$$

Since $\{r, up\} = \{r, u\}\{r, p\}$ with $\{r, u\} \in A_{p^2-1}$, and similarly with the other terms, this identity implies that $\{z, p\}\{w, p\} = \{r, p\}$ modulo A_{p^2-1} , and thus we have a homomorphism. Then one shows that it's injective and surjective. The other case is similar.