

## Lecture 15: Properties of the Determinant

Last time we proved the existence and uniqueness of the determinant  $\det : M_{n \times n}(F) \rightarrow F$  satisfying 5 axioms.

For this lecture we will be using the last three axioms dealing with how  $\det(A)$  behaves when elementary row operations are performed on  $A$ .

Type 1. Interchange two rows of  $A$  to get a new matrix  $B$ . Then

$$\det(B) = -\det(A).$$

Type 2. Replace the  $i$ -th row  $R_i$  of  $A$  by  $R_i + cR_j$  where  $R_j$  is a different row to get  $B$ . Then

$$\det(B) = \det(A).$$

Type 3. Multiply the  $i$ -th row  $R_i$  of  $A$  by  $c$  (and don't change the other rows) to get  $B$ . Then

$$\det(B) = c \det(A).$$

The key point in what follows is that each of the three operations can be realized by multiplying  $A$  on the left by an "elementary matrix".

### Proposition (1)

Let  $E$  be the result of applying one of the above elementary operations to the identity matrix and  $B$  be the result of applying the same operation to  $A$ . Then

$$B = EA.$$

**Proof:** I will prove it only for the case  $n = 2$ .

Type 1 Interchange the two rows.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ a & b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$EA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ a & b \end{pmatrix} = B.$$

Type 2 (Add  $\lambda R_1$  to  $R_2$ )

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c + \lambda a & d + \lambda b \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$EA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \lambda & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \lambda c & \lambda d \end{pmatrix} = B.$$

Type 3 (Multiply the second row by  $\lambda$ )

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \lambda c & \lambda d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad E = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$$

$$EA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ \lambda c & \lambda d \end{pmatrix} = B.$$

In what follows, I will let  $\mathcal{E}(A)$  denote the result of applying an elementary row operation  $\mathcal{E}$  to a matrix  $A$  and  $E$  be the elementary matrix  $\mathcal{E}(I)$  so Proposition (1) can be restated as

$$\mathcal{E}(A) = \underbrace{\mathcal{E}(I)}_E \bullet A.$$

We know compute  $\det E$  for the three types of elementary matrices.

### Lemma (1)

- *Type 1*

$\det(E) = \det(\mathcal{E}(I)) = -\det(I) = -1.$   
(The second equality hold by Axiom (iii)).

- *Type 2*

$\det(E) = \det(\mathcal{E}(I)) = \det(I) = 1.$   
(The second equality hold by Axiom (iv)).

- *Type 3*

$\det(E) = \det(\mathcal{E}(I)) = c \det(I) = c.$   
(The second equality hold by Axiom (v)).

We can now prove:

### Lemma (2)

*Let  $E$  be an elementary matrix and  $A$  be an arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrix. Then*

$$\det(EA) = \det(E) \det(A).$$

**Proof.** By proposition 1, we have

$$EA\mathcal{E}(A)$$

and so

$$\det(EA) = \det(\mathcal{E}(A)). \quad (*)$$

Now evaluate the RHS of (\*) by axioms (iii), (iv) and (v) to show that

$$\det(\mathcal{E}(A)) = \det(E) \det(A),$$

using Lemma 1 to evaluate  $\det(E)$ . For example, we saw  $\det(E) = -1$ .

So

$$\det(E) \det(A) = (-1) \det(A) = -\det(A).$$

By axiom (iii)

$$\det(EA) = \det(\mathcal{E}(A)) = -\det(A).$$

### Lemma (3)

Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  be elementary matrices and  $B$  be an arbitrary  $n$  by  $n$  matrix. Then

$$\det(E_1 \dots E_k B) = \det(E_1 \dots E_k) \det(B).$$

**Proof.** By induction on  $k$ . We have already proved the case of  $k = 1$ . Suppose it is true and consider

$$D = \det(E_1 \underbrace{E_2 \dots E_k E_{k+1}}_A B)$$



Put  $A = E_2 \dots E_k E_{k+1} B$  so the above determinant becomes  $D = \det(E_1 A)$ . But by Lemma (2) we have

$$\det(E_1 A) = \det(E_1) \det(A)$$

and by the induction hypothesis we have

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \det(E_1) \det(E_2 \dots E_k E_{k+1} B) \\ &= \det(E_1) \underbrace{\det(E_2 \dots E_k E_{k+1}) \det(B)}_{\text{by the induction hypothesis}} \\ &= \det(E_1 E_2 \dots E_k E_{k+1} \det(B)) \end{aligned}$$

The last equality is Lemma 2 with  $A = E_2 \dots E_k E_{k+1}$ .

## Corollary

$$\det(E_1 \dots E_k) = \det(E_1) \dots \det(E_k) \neq 0.$$

### Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \det(E_1 E_2 \dots E_k) &= \det(E_1) \det(E_2 \dots E_k) \\ &= \det(E_1) \det(E_2) \dots \det(E_k). \end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows by induction. We now apply Lemma (3) to prove two important properties of determinants.

### Theorem ((1))

*A  $n$  by  $n$  matrix  $A$  is invertible if and only  $\det(A) \neq 0$ .*

**Proof.** Recall that  $A$  can be reduced by elementary row operations to a matrix  $B$  which is the identity if  $A$  is invertible and had row of zeroes if  $A$  is not invertible.

So we have

$$E_1 E_2 \dots E_k A = B$$

and hence

$$\det(E_1 E_2 \dots E_k A) = \det(B).$$

By Lemma (3) we have

$$\det(E_1 E_2 \dots E_k) \det(A) = \det(B).$$

By the previous corollary we have

$$\det(E_1 \dots E_k) \neq 0,$$

so

$$\det(A) = 0 \iff \det(B) = 0.$$

But since a matrix with a row of zeroes has determinant equal to zero we see

$$\det(A) = \begin{cases} \det(I) = 1, & \text{if } A \text{ is invertible} \\ 0, & \text{if } A \text{ is not invertible} \end{cases}$$



### Theorem ((2))

*Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n$  by  $n$  matrices. Then*

$$\det(AB) = \det(A) \det(B).$$

**Proof.**

Case 1:  $A$  is invertible. Then  $A$  is a product of elementary matrices

$$A = E_1 E_2 \dots E_k$$

(See the beginning of the proof on the previous page.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} \det(AB) &= \det(E_1 \dots E_k B) \\ &= \det(E_1 \dots E_k) \det(B) \\ &= \det(A) \det(B). \end{aligned}$$

Case 2:  $A$  is not invertible, so  $\det(A) = 0$ . So, it suffices to show  $\det(AB) = 0$ . We can write  $A = E_1 \dots E_k C$  where  $C$  has bottom row zero. Also  $\det(E_1 \dots E_k CB) = \det(E_1 \dots E_k) \det(CB)$ . But since  $C$  has bottom row zero so does  $CB$ . Hence  $\det(CB) = 0$ .

## Corollary

$$\det A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)}.$$

**Proof.**

$$AA^{-1} = I$$

so

$$\det(AA^{-1}) = 1$$

so, using Theorem (2)

$$\det(A) \det(A^{-1}) = 1$$



# Expansion by Minors

We now give two kinds of formulas for computing determinants (text, page 150).

## Theorem

1. *Expansion by minors on the  $j$ -th column:*

$$\det(A) = (-1)^{j+1}a_{1j} \det(A_{1j}) + (-1)^{j+2}a_{2j} \det(A_{2j}) + \dots + (-1)^{j+n}a_{nj} \det(A_{nj}).$$

2. *Expansion by minors on the  $i$ -th row:*

$$\det(A) = (-1)^{i+1}a_{i1} \det(A_{i1}) + (-1)^{i+2}a_{i2} \det(A_{i2}) + \dots + (-1)^{i+n}a_{in} \det(A_{in}).$$

In these formulas,  $A_{ij}$  is the matrix  $A$  with the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column deleted. The terms  $(-1)^{i+j}$  provide alternating signs depending on the position  $(i, j)$  in the matrix.

$$\begin{pmatrix} + & - & + & - & \dots \\ - & + & - & + & \dots \\ + & - & + & - & \dots \\ \vdots & & & \dots & \end{pmatrix}$$



We now give a formula for the inverse of a matrix  $A$  assuming  $\det(A) \neq 0$  (text, page 151).

We first define the  $n$  by  $n$  matrix of signed cofactors  $C = C(A) = (c_{ij})$

$$c_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \det(A_{ij}).$$

We then define the classical adjoint and adjugate of  $A$  denoted  $\text{adj}(A)$  by

$$\text{adj}(A) = {}^t C = \text{the transpose of } C$$

(See the text, page 146.)

In the text  $\text{adj}(A)$  is denoted  $A^*$ . This is bad notation because we will need the notation  $A^*$  later.

We then have

### Theorem

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det(A)} \text{adj}((A)).$$

**Example.** The 2 by 2 case

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

$$C(A) = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{adj}(A) = {}^t C(A) = \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

# The Volume Form $D$ on a $n$ -dimensional Vector Space

We conclude by relating what we have done with the text, Chapter 5.

The text starts with a function

$$D : \underbrace{F^n \times F^n \times \dots \times F^n}_{c \text{ copies}} \longrightarrow F$$

satisfying the axioms on page 134. The text calls  $D$  a **determinant factor**, I will call it a volume form and develop it in more generality.

# The Volume Form $D$ on a $n$ -dimensional Vector Space

So let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space. Choose a basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  for  $V$ . Then a volume form  $D$  on  $V$  is a function of  $n$ -tuples of vectors ( $n$ -hypervectors)  $D(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  satisfying (see Lecture 14, page 2):

- (i)  $D(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = 1$ .
- (ii)  $D(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  is separately linear in each  $v_i$  when the other  $v_j$ 's are left fixed.
- (iii) If  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are interchanged then the sign of  $D$  changes.
- (iv) if  $v_i$  is replaced by  $v_i + cv_j$ ,  $D$  does not change.
- (v) If  $v_i$  is replaced by  $cv_i$  then  $D$  is multiplied by  $c$ .

In the text,  $D$  is constructed and used to construct the determinant. We work in reverse. Let  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$ . Then we define

$$D(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = \det(P_{\mathcal{B} \leftarrow v})$$

## Theorem

*This definition satisfies (i)-(v).*

To explain what this means

$$A = (P_{\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{V}})$$

is given

$$A = (a_{ij}),$$

where

$$v_j = \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} b_i, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \quad (*)$$

In terms of Lecture 13, we have the equality of hypervectors

$$(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) \cdot A \quad (**)$$

Then  $D(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) = \det(A)$ .

In the above,  $\mathcal{V}$  does not have to be a basis. For example we could take  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{E} = \{e_1, e_2\}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = (e_1 + e_2, e_1 + e_2)$ . Then

$$P_{\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{V}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \det(P_{\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{V}}) = 0$$

# Some Examples

$$V = \mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2\}.$$

Then

$$(v_1, v_2) = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix} = ad - dc$$

Here is the rule for  $D(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  for  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ .

Put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & v_2 & \dots & v_n \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \dots & \downarrow \end{pmatrix}$$

so  $A$  is the matrix whose [?] We always take the standard basis for  $\mathcal{B}$  in case  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

In fact  $|D(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)|$  is the volume of the solid in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is the set of all combinations

$$\{t_1v_1 + \dots + t_nv_n : 0 \leq t_i \leq 1, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

This is the generalized parallelepiped spanned by  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ .