

Lecture 10: The Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization Process

The Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalization Process

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be an ordered independent set. Then there exists an orthonormal set $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$ such that

$$\text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} = \text{span}\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k.$$

Proof.

Step 1

Put $u_1 = \frac{v_1}{\|v_1\|}$.

Step 2

Make v_2 orthogonal to u_1 by defining

$$w_2 = v_2 - (v_2, u_1) u_1.$$

Remark: In lecture 11, we will learn that $(v_2, u_1) u_1$ is the projection of v_2 onto the line through u_1 so we are subtracting this projection from v_1 . Then w_2 is perpendicular to u_1 . Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}(w_2, u_1) &= (v_2 - (v_2, u_1) u_1, u_1) \\ &= (v_2, u_1) - (v_2, u_1) (u_1, u_1) \\ &= (v_2, u_1) - (v_2, u_1) \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\text{span}(u_1, w_2) &= \text{span}(v_1, w_2) \\ &= \text{span}(v_1, v_2). \quad (**)\end{aligned}$$

(**) holds because any linear combination of v_1 and w_2 is a linear combination of v_1 and v_2 and vice versa.

Here is why:

$v \in \text{span}(v_1, w_2) \implies$ there exists c_1 and c_2 so that

$$v = c_1 v_1 + c_2 w_2.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 &= v_2 - (v_2, u_1) u_1 \\ &= v_2 - \frac{1}{\|v_1\|^2} (v_2, v_1) v_1. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} v &= c_1 v_1 + c_2 \left(v_2 - \frac{1}{\|v_1\|^2} (v_2, v_1) v_1 \right) \\ &= \left[c_1 - \frac{1}{\|v_1\|^2} (v_2, v_1) \right] v_1 + c_2 v_2. \end{aligned}$$

So, $v \in \text{span}(v_1, v_2)$. Conversely, if $v \in \text{span}(v_1, v_2)$. Write

$$v_2 = w_2 + \frac{1}{\|v_1\|^2} (v_2, v_1) v_1$$

and proceed as above to prove $v \in \text{span}(v_1, w_2)$.

At each stage in the following proof a formula like (**) has to be checked. I will leave this to you.

Now we have $\{u_1, u_2, v_3, v_4, \dots, v_k\}$ with $\{u_1, u_2\}$ an orthonormal set.

Now make v_3 orthogonal by defining

$$w_3 = v_3 - (v_3, u_1)u_1 - (v_3, u_2)u_2.$$

(We are subtracting off the projection of v_3 onto $\text{span}\{u_1, u_2\}$)

We have as before (only more complicated)

The induction step from $i - 1$ to i

Suppose we have

$$\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}, v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_k\}$$

where $\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}\}$ is an orthonormal set with

$$\text{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}\} = \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}\}.$$

Put $w_i = v_i - [(v_i, u_1)u_1 + \dots + (v_i, u_{i-1})u_{i-1}]$.

Then $(w_i, u_j) = 0$, $1 \leq j \leq i - 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{span}\{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}, w_i\} &= \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{i-1}, v_i\} \\ &= \text{span}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, put

$$u_i = \frac{w_i}{\|w_i\|}$$

and we have performed the induction step. □

Corollary

Every finite dimensional vector space V with an inner product has an orthonormal basis.

Proof. Choose a basis $\mathcal{B} = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$ for V and apply Gram-Schmidt to \mathcal{B} to get an orthonormal basis for V .

Hard Problem: Show that change of basis matrix $P_{\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{U}}$ from \mathcal{U} to \mathcal{B} is upper triangular, that is

$$P_{\mathcal{B} \leftarrow \mathcal{U}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & * & \dots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & * \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & * \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

How is this related to

$$\text{span} \{u_1, u_2, \dots, v_i\} = \text{span} \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_i\}, 1 \leq i \leq n?$$