# Lecture 17: The minimal Polynomial of a Linear Transformation

э

- ∢ ∃ ►

# Subsituting a Linear Transformation into a Polynomial

Let V be a vector space over F of dimension n.  $T \in L(V, V)$  and  $f(x) \in F[x]$ . We want to define  $f(T) \in L(V, V)$ .

# Definition

If 
$$f(x) = a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 x + a_0$$
 then

$$f(T) = a_n T^n + a_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 T + a_0 I$$

We could also evaluate at a square matrix A:

$$f(A) = a_n A^n + a_{n-1} A^{n-1} + \ldots + a_1 A + a_0 I$$

#### Theorem

The matrix of f(T) relative to the basis  $\mathscr{B}$  is f(A), where A is the matrix of T relative to the basis  $\mathscr{B}$ .

Let 
$$\Phi_T : F[x] \longrightarrow L(V, V)$$
 be given by  
 $\Phi_T(f) = f(T).$ 

#### Proposition

 $\Phi_T$  is an *F*-algebra homomorphism.  $\Phi_T$  is not onto (for n  $\downarrow$ 1) and had a big kernel.

### Why isn't it onto?

$$f(T)g(T) = g(T)f(T).$$

So any two elements in the image of  $\Phi$  commute. So take two non-commuting elements in L(V, V) (we need n > 1 to do this.) They can not both be in the image of  $\Phi_T$ .

Why does  $\Phi_T$  have a big nullspace? Take any set of  $n^2 + 1$  linearly independent elements of F[x],  $\{f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_{n^2+1}\}$  (e.g.  $1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^{n^2}$ ). Then

$$\{f_1(T), f_2(T), \ldots, f_{n^2+1}(T)\}$$

is a set of  $n^1+1$  elements in  $L\left(V,\,V\right)$ , an  $n^2$  dimensional vector space. Hence there is a relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n^2+1} c_i f_i(T) = 0, \quad c_i \neq 0.$$

Then  $\sum_{i=1}^{n^2+1} c_i f_i \in \text{Ker}(\Phi_T)$  is a non-zero elements is an infinite dimensional vector space?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

We just saw  $I, T, T^2, \ldots, T^{n^2}$  must be linear independent since  $\dim L(V, V) = n^2$ . Hence there exits scalars  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n^2}$  so that

$$a_0I + a_1T + \ldots + a_{n^2}T^{n^2}$$

So  $f(x) = a_0I + a_1x + \ldots + a_{n^2}x^{n^2}$  is in  $\text{Ker}(\Phi_T)$ . In other words, there is a linear relation between the power  $I, T, T^2, \ldots, T^{n^2}$ 

**Remark:** In fact, we will see later that there is always a linear relation between the powers

$$I, T, T^2, \ldots, T^{n^2}$$

and often we can get a even smaller power k.

# **Fundamental Question**

What is the smallest power k so that there is a nontrivial linear relation among  $I,\,T,\,T^2,\,\ldots,\,T^{n^2}?$ 

First-there is a unique such k. Let

 $R = \{\ell : \text{ there is a linear relation among the powers } I, T, T^2, \dots, T^\ell\}$ 

Since  $n^2 \in R$ , R is nonempty.

The smallest possible is k = 1.

• If k = 0, we would have

$$a_0 T^0 = 0, \quad a_0 \neq 0.$$

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ● □ ● のへの

But  $T^0 = I$ , a contradiction.

• If k = 1, we would have

 $a_0T^0 + a_1T = 0 \iff T$  is a scalar ( a multiple of )*I*.

If T is not scalar,  $k \ge 2$ .

Choose a minimal degree linear relation

$$a_k T^k + a_{k-1} T^{k-1} + \ldots + a_1 T + a_0 I = 0$$

Divide by  $a_k$  to make it monic:

$$T^{k} + b_{k-1}T^{k-1} + \ldots + b_{1}T + b_{0}I = 0$$

Define

$$m(x) = x^{k} + b_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \ldots + b_{1}x + b_{0}I = 0$$

so m(T) = 0.

# We need

### Lemma

Suppose f(x) satisfies deg(f) < k. Then

$$f(T) = 0 \iff f(x) = 0 (= the zero-polynomial).$$

**Proof.** By definition, k is the smallest degree so that there is a nonzero polynomial satisfying f(T) = 0.

▲ロ → ▲ 団 → ▲ 臣 → ▲ 臣 → の < ⊙

#### Theorem

Suppose  $0 \neq f(x) \in F[x]$  satisfies f(T) = 0. Then m(x)|f(x).

**Proof.** By the lemma,  $deg(f) \ge deg(m)$ . So we can divide f by m.

f(x) = Q(x)m(x) + R(x)

with  $\deg(R(x)) < \deg(m(x))$ . Now evaluate

$$f(T) = Q(T)m(T) + R(T)$$

But f(T) = m(T) = 0. Hence R(T) = 0. But  $\deg(R(x)) < \deg(m(x))$ , so  $R(T) = 0 \Longrightarrow R(x) = 0$  by the lemma.

◆□> ◆□> ◆三> ◆三> ・三> のへの

# Corollary

m(x) is unique.

**Proof.** Suppose  $m_1(x)$  is another monic polynomial of degree k so that  $m_1(T) = 0$ . Then  $m(x)|m_1(x)$  so (since we have the same degree),  $m_1(x) = cm(x)$ . But since both m(x) and  $m_1(x)$  are monic, we have c = 1.

#### Definition

m(x) is called the miniminal polynomial of the linear transformation T. Sometimes we will write  $m_T$ .

**Note:** It's hard to compute-it is even hard to compute  $k = \deg(m_T)$ . Now let  $A \in M_n(F)$ . We can repeat the whole theory to define

 $m_A$  = the monic polynomial f of smallest degree such that f(A) = 0.

#### Theorem

Suppose  $T \in L(V, V)$ ,  $\mathscr{B} = (b_1, b_2, ..., b_n)$  is an ordered basis of Vand  $A = M(T) = {}_{\mathscr{B}}[T]_{\mathscr{B}}$ . Then

 $m_T = m_A$ 

#### We will need

#### Lemma

Let  $f(x) \in F[x]$ , A, T,  $\mathscr{B}$  be as above. Then

 $M\left(f(T)\right) = f(A).$ 

▲ロ → ▲ 団 → ▲ 臣 → ▲ 臣 → の < ⊙

Proof of Lemma.  $f(x) = a_m x^m + a_{m-1} x^{m-1} + \ldots + a_1 x + a_0 I$  . So

$$f(T) = a_k T^k + a_{k-1} T^{k-1} + \ldots + a_1 T + a_0 I$$

But M is a ring homomorphism, so

$$M(f(T)) = M(a_k T^k + a_{k-1} T^{k-1} + \ldots + a_1 T + a_0 I)$$
  
=  $M(a_k T^k) + M(a_{k-1} T^{k-1}) + \ldots + M(a_1 T) + M(a_0 I)$   
=  $a_k M(T^k) + a_{k-1} M(T^{k-1}) + \ldots + a_1 M(T) + a_0 M(I)$   
=  $a_k A^k + a_{k-1} A^{k-1} + \ldots + a_1 A + a_0 I = f(A)$ .  $\Box$ 

# Corollary

$$f(T) = 0 \Longleftrightarrow f(A) = 0.$$

 $m_T$  is the monic nonzero polynomial of lowest degree in the space

$$\mathcal{N}_T = \{ f \in F \left[ x \right] : f(T) = 0 \}$$

 $m_A$  is the monic polynomial of lowest degree in the space

$$\mathcal{N}_A = \{ f \in F[x] : f(A) = 0 \}$$

But we just saw that  $N_T = N_A$  so the smallest degree monic polynomial in each of the subspaces is the same.