

## 1. 2.4 e)

These three vectors are linearly dependent. We give an explicit dependence relation

$$(-1) \langle 1, 1, 2 \rangle + (1) \langle 3, 1, 2 \rangle + (2) \langle -1, 0, 0 \rangle = \mathbf{0}$$

## 2. 2.5

Let  $\mathbf{u} = \langle 1, 1, 0 \rangle$  and  $\mathbf{v} = \langle 0, 1, 1 \rangle$ . We claim  $S = S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v})$  is the solution set, call it  $X$ , of

$$x_1 - x_2 + x_3 = 0. \quad (1)$$

It is clear that  $S \subset X$ . Now suppose  $X \not\subset S$ . Then there is some vector  $\mathbf{w} \in X$  with  $w \notin S$ . Since  $X$  is a subspace we have  $S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) \subset X$ . But, since  $\mathbf{w} \notin S$  we have  $S(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}) = \mathbb{R}^3$ . However, not all vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  satisfy Equation (1).

## 3. 2.10

Suppose  $A = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  is a set which contains a linearly dependent set of vectors. We may assume (possibly reindexing the vectors) that  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$  is a set of linearly dependent vectors, where  $m \leq n$ . Then there are scalars  $c_1, \dots, c_m$ , not all zero, so that

$$c_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \dots + c_m \mathbf{v}_m = \mathbf{0}.$$

Now we demonstrate a dependence with all the vectors in  $A$ . Let

$$d_i = \begin{cases} c_i & 1 \leq i \leq m \\ 0 & m+1 \leq i \leq n \end{cases}$$

Then not all the  $d_i$  are zero and

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \mathbf{v}_i = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{0}.$$

The analogous statement is “Any subset of a linearly independent set is linearly independent.”