$1. \ 3.11, \ 3)$ 

$$TU(a,b) = T(U(a,b)) = T(a+b,a) = (-2a - 3b,b)$$

whereas

$$UT(a,b) = U(T(a,b)) = U(-3a+b,a-b) = (-2a, -3a+b).$$

So  $UT \neq TU$ .

2. 3.11, 9) We will prove  $(a) \iff (b)$  and then  $(a) + (b) \iff (c)$  and  $(a) + (b) \iff (d)$ . The main point is proving  $(a) \iff (b)$  since the other two are almost by definition.

Suppose T is one-to-one and V has dimension n. Since T is one-to-one,  $N(T) = \{0\}$  and thus dimN(t) = 0. Thus, by the rank-nullity theorem, dim(T(V)) = n and since V is n-dimensional we have that T(V) = V, hence T is onto.

Suppose now that T is onto. Then T(V) = V and hence dim(T(V)) = n. Now by the rank-nullity theorem, dimN(t) = 0 and hence T is one-to-one.

We have seen that being one-to-one and onto is equivalent to being an isomorphism, hence  $(a) + (b) \iff (c)$ .

We have also proven that being one-to-one and onto is equivalent to being invertible, hence  $(a) + (b) \iff (d)$ .

3. 3.13, 4) We want to show that dim(T(V)) = rank(A). Fix a basis  $\mathcal{B} = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ . Then we know  $T(V) = S(T(b_1), \ldots, T(b_n))$ , hence

$$dimT(V) = dimS(T(b_1), \dots, T(b_n)).$$

On the other hand, by definition, since rank(A) is the dimension of the column space and the columns of A are  $[T(b_1)]_{\mathcal{B}}, \ldots, [T(b_n)]_{\mathcal{B}}$ , we have

$$rank(A) = dimS([T(b_1)]_{\mathcal{B}}, \dots, [T(b_n)]_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

But, the map "sub- $\mathcal{B}$ " (choosing coordinates) is an isomorphism, hence these dimensions are the same.