Linear Systems of n equations for n unknowns

In many application problems we want to find *n* unknowns, and we have *n* linear equations.

Example: Find x_1, x_2, x_3 such that the following three equations hold:

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 = 1$$

$$4x_1 + 3x_2 + x_3 = -2$$

$$-2x_1 + 2x_2 + x_3 = 6$$

We can write this using matrix-vector notation as

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{A} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}}_{x} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}}_{b}$$

General case: We can have *n* equations for *n* unknowns:

Given: Coefficients a_{11}, \ldots, a_{nn} , right hand side entries b_1, \ldots, b_n .

Wanted: Numbers x_1, \ldots, x_n such that

$$a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$

$$\vdots$$

$$a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$$

Using matrix-vector notation this can be written as follows: Given a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and a right-hand side vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, find a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}}_{A} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}}_{x} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_n \end{bmatrix}}_{b}$$

Singular and Nonsingular Matrices

Definition: We call a matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ singular if there exists a nonzero vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that Ax = 0.

Recall that Ax gives a linear combination of the columns of the matrix A. Hence:

- the columns of a singular matrix are linearly dependent.
- the columns of a nonsingular matrix are linearly independent.

Example: The matrix
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$
. is singular since for $x = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ we have $Ax = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.
The matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$. is nonsingular: $Ax = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \end{bmatrix}$ implies $x_2 = b_2/4$, $x_1 = b_1 + 2x_2$. Therefore $Ax = 0$ implies $x = 0$.

Observation: If *A* is singular, the linear system Ax = b has either no solution or infinitely many solutions: As *A* is singular there exists a nonzero vector *y* with Ay = 0. If Ax = b has a solution *x*, then $x + \alpha y$ is also a solution for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will later prove: (i) If *A* is nonsingular, then the linear system Ax = b has a unique solution *x* for any given $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (ii) If *A* is singular, there are vectors *b* for which the linear system Ax = b has no solution.

We will later give an algorithm (Gaussian elimination with pivoting) to determine whether or not a matrix A is singular (using *exact* arithmetic).

For a numerical computation we want to solve a problem which has a unique solution, i.e., we want that A is nonsingular. We will discuss the case when only a machine approximation of A is known.

Gaussian Elimination without Pivoting

Basic Algorithm: We can add (or subtract) a multiple of one equation to another equation, without changing the solution of the linear system. By repeatedly using this idea we can eliminate unknowns from the equations until we finally get an equation which just contains one unknown variable.

1. Elimination:

Step 1: eliminate x_1 from equation 2, ..., equation n by subtracting multiples of equation 1: $eq_2 := eq_2 - \ell_{21} \cdot eq_1$, ..., $eq_n := eq_n - \ell_{n1} \cdot eq_1$ Step 2: eliminate x_2 from equation 3, ..., equation n by subtracting multiples of equation 2: $eq_3 := eq_3 - \ell_{32} \cdot eq_2$, ..., $eq_n := eq_n - \ell_{n2} \cdot eq_2$: Step n - 1: eliminate x_{n-1} from equation n by subtracting a multiple of equation n - 1: $eq_n := eq_n - \ell_{n,n-1} \cdot eq_{n-1}$

2. Back substitution:

Solve equation *n* for x_n . Solve equation n - 1 for x_{n-1} .

Solve equation 1 for x_1 .

The elimination transforms the original linear system Ax = b into a new linear system Ux = y with an upper triangular matrix U, and a new right hand side vector y.

Example: We consider the linear system

Γ	2	3	1	Ι Γ	x_1		1	1
	4	3	1		x_2	=	-2	
	-2	2	1		<i>x</i> ₃		6	
Ľ		~			\sim			-
		À			x		b	

Elimination: To eliminate x_1 from equation 2 we choose $l_{21} = 4/2 = 2$ and subtract l_{21} times equation 1 from equation 2. To eliminate x_1 from equation 3 we choose $l_{31} = -2/1 = -1$ and subtract l_{31} times equation 1 from equation 3. Then the linear system becomes

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

To eliminate x_2 from equation 3 we choose $l_{32} = 5/(-3)$ and subtract l_{32} times equation 2 from equation 3, yielding the linear system

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}}_{U} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -4 \\ \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}}_{y}$$

Now we have obtained a linear system with an upper triangular matrix (denoted by U) and a new right hand side vector (denoted by y).

Back substitution: The third equation is $\frac{1}{3}x_3 = \frac{1}{3}$ and gives $x_3 = 1$. Then the second equation becomes $-3x_2 - 1 = -4$, yielding $x_2 = 1$. Then the first equation becomes $2x_1 + 3 + 1 = 1$, yielding $x_1 = -\frac{3}{2}$.

Transforming the matrix and right hand side vector separately: We can split the elimination into two parts: The first part acts only on the matrix A, generating the upper triangular matrix U and the multipliers ℓ_{jk} . The second part uses the multipliers ℓ_{jk} to transform the right hand side vector b to the vector y.

1. Elimination for matrix: Given the matrix A, find an upper triangular matrix U and multipliers ℓ_{jk} :

Let U := A and perform the following operations on the rows of U: **Step 1:** $\ell_{21} := u_{21}/u_{11}$, row₂ := row₂ - $\ell_{21} \cdot row_1$, ..., $\ell_{n1} := u_{n1}/u_{11}$, row_n := row_n - $\ell_{n1} \cdot row_1$ **Step 2:** $\ell_{32} := u_{32}/u_{22}$, row₃ := row₃ - $\ell_{32} \cdot row_2$, ..., $\ell_{n2} := u_{n2}/u_{22}$, row_n := row_n - $\ell_{n2} \cdot row_2$: **Step** n - 1: $\ell_{n,n-1} := u_{n,n-1}/u_{n,n}$, eq_n := eq_n - $\ell_{n,n-1} \cdot eq_{n-1}$

2. Transform right hand side vector: Given the vector *b* and the multiplies ℓ_{jk} find the transformed vector *y*: Let y := b and perform the following operations on *y*:

Step 1: $y_2 := y_2 - \ell_{21} \cdot y_1, \dots, y_n := y_n - \ell_{n1} \cdot y_1$ Step 2: $y_3 := y_3 - \ell_{32} \cdot y_2, \dots, y_n := y_n - \ell_{n2} \cdot y_2$: Step n - 1: $y_n := y_n - \ell_{n,n-1} \cdot y_{n-1}$

3. Back substitution: Given the matrix U and the vector y find the vector x by solving Ux = y:

 $x_{n} := b_{n}/u_{n,n}$ $x_{n-1} := (b_{n-1} - u_{n-1,n}x_{n})/u_{n-1,n-1}$: $x_{1} := (b_{1} - u_{12}x_{2} - \dots - u_{1n}x_{n})/u_{11}$

Reformulating part 2 as forward substitution: Now we want to express the relation between *b* and *y* in a different way. Assume we are given *y*, and we want to reconstruct *b* by reversing the operations: For n = 3 we would perform the operations

$$y_3 := y_3 + \ell_{32}y_2, \qquad y_3 := y_3 + \ell_{31}y_1, \qquad y_2 := y_2 + \ell_{21}y_1$$

and obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 + \ell_{21} \cdot y_1 \\ y_3 + \ell_{31} \cdot y_1 + \ell_{32} \cdot y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{L} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

with the lower triangular matrix L.

Therefore we can rephrase the transformation step as follows: Given *L* and *b*, solve the linear system Ly = b for *y*. Since *L* is lower triangular, we can solve this linear system by **forward substitution**: We first solve the first equation for y_1 , then solve the second equation for y_2, \ldots .

The LU decomposition: In the same way as we reconstructed the vector *b* from the vector *y* , we can reconstruct the matrix *A* from the matrix *U*: For n = 3 we obtain

 $\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{row 1 of } A \\ \operatorname{row 2 of } A \\ \operatorname{row 3 of } A \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\operatorname{row 1 of } U) \\ (\operatorname{row 2 of } U) + \ell_{2,1} \cdot (\operatorname{row 1 of } U) \\ (\operatorname{row 3 of } U) + \ell_{3,1} \cdot (\operatorname{row 1 of } U) + \ell_{3,2} \cdot (\operatorname{row 2 of } U) \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & 1 & 0 \\ \ell_{31} & \ell_{32} & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{I} \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{row 1 of } U \\ \operatorname{row 2 of } U \\ \operatorname{row 3 of } U \end{bmatrix}$

Therefore we have A = LU. We have written the matrix A as the product of a lower triangular matrix (with 1's on the diagonal) and an upper triangular matrix U. This is called the **LU-decomposition** of A.

Summary: Now we can rephrase the parts 1., 2., 3. of the algorithm as follows:

1. Find the LU-decomposition A = LU:

Perform elimination on the matrix A, yielding an upper triangular matrix U. Store the multipliers in a matrix L and put 1's on the diagonal of the matrix L:

$$L := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \ell_{21} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \ell_{n,1} & \cdots & \ell_{n,n-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

- **2**. Solve Ly = b using forward substitution
- **3.** Solve Ux = y using back substitution

The matrix decomposition A = LU allows us to solve the linear system Ax = b in two steps: Since

$$L\underbrace{Ux}_{y} = b$$

we can first solve Ly = b to find y, and then solve Ux = y to find x.

Example:

1. We start with U := A and L being the zero matrix:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 3 & 1 \\ -2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

step 1:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

step 2:

We

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -\frac{5}{3} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

put 1's on the diagonal of *L* and obtain $L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -\frac{5}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, U = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & -3 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$

2. We solve the linear system Ly = b

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & -\frac{5}{3} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

by forward substitution: The first equation gives $y_1 = 1$. Then the second equation becomes $2 + y_2 = -2$ yielding $y_2 = -4$. Then the third equation becomes $-1 - \frac{5}{3} \cdot (-4) + y_3 = 6$, yielding $y_3 = \frac{1}{3}$.

3. The back substitution for solving Ux = b is performed as explained above.

Gaussian Elimination with Pivoting

There is a problem with Gaussian elimination without pivoting: If we have at step *j* that $u_{jj} = 0$ we cannot continue since we have to divide by u_{jj} . This element u_{jj} by which we have to divide is called the **pivot**.

Example: For $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ we use $\ell_{21} = \frac{-2}{4}, \ell_{31} = \frac{2}{4}$ and obtain after step 1 of the elimination $U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Now we have $u_{22} = 0$ and cannot continue.

Gaussian elimination with pivoting uses row interchanges to overcome this problem. For step *j* of the algorithm we consider the **pivot candidates** $u_{j,j}, u_{j+1,j}, \ldots, u_{nj}$, i.e., the diagonal element and all elements below. If there is a nonzero pivot candidate, say u_{kj} we interchange rows *j* and *k* of the matrix *U*. Then we can continue with the elimination.

Since we want that the multipliers correspond to the appropriate row of U, we also interchange the rows of L whenever we interchange the rows of L. In order to keep track of the interchanges we use a vector p which is initially $(1, 2, ..., n)^{\top}$, and we interchange its rows whenever we interchange the rows of U.

Algorithm: Gaussian Elimination with pivoting: Input: matrix A. Output: matrix L (lower triangular), matrix U (upper triangular), vector p (contains permutation of 1, ..., n)

 $L := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}; U := A; p := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ n \end{bmatrix}$ For j = 1 to n - 1If $U_{jj} = 0, U_{j+1,j} = 0, \dots, U_{nj} = 0$ Stop with error message "Matrix is singular" Else Pick $k \in \{j, j+1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $U_{kj} \neq 0$ End Interchange row j and row k of UInterchange row i and row k of LInterchange p_i and p_k For k = j + 1 to n $L_{kj} := U_{kj}/U_{jj}$ $(\operatorname{row} k \text{ of } U) := (\operatorname{row} k \text{ of } U) - L_{ki} \cdot (\operatorname{row} j \text{ of } U)$ End End If $U_{nn} = 0$ Stop with error message "Matrix is singular" End For j = 1 to n $L_{ii} := 1$ End

Note that in this algorithm we can have several nonzero pivot candidates. In the algorithm we did not specify which of these we would choose. For example, we could consider $U_{jj}, U_{j+1,j}, \ldots, U_{nj}$ in this order and choose the first nonzero element. If we use exact arithmetic, it does not matter which nonzero pivot we choose. However, in machine arithmetic the choice of the pivot can make a difference for the accuracy of the result.

Example:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here the pivot candidates are 4, -2, 2, and we use 4:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Here the pivot candidates are 0, -1, and we use -1. Therefore we interchange rows 2 and 3 of L, U, p:

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & (-1) & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

For column 2 we have $l_{32} = 0$ and U does not change. Finally we put 1s on the diagonal of L and get the final result

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad p = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

LU Decomposition for Gaussian elimination with pivoting: If we perform row interchanges during the algorithm we no longer have LU = A. Instead we obtain a matrix \tilde{A} which contains the rows of A in a different order:

$$LU = \tilde{A} := \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{row} p_1 \text{ of } A \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{row} p_n \text{ of } A \end{bmatrix}$$

The reason for this is the following: If we applied Gaussian elimination without pivoting to the matrix \tilde{A} , we would get the same L and U that we got for the matrix A with pivoting.

Solving a linear system using Gaussian elimination with pivoting: In order to solve a linear system Ax = b we first apply Gaussian elimination with pivoting to the matrix A, yielding L, U, p. Then we know that $LU = \tilde{A}$.

By reordering the equations of Ax = b in the order p_1, \ldots, p_n we obtain the linear system

$$\underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{row} p_1 \operatorname{of} A \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{row} p_n \operatorname{of} A \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{A} = LU} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} b_{p_1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{p_n} \end{bmatrix}}_{\tilde{b}}$$

i.e., we have $L(Ux) = \tilde{b}$. We set y = Ux. Then we solve the linear system $Ly = \tilde{b}$ using forward substitution, and finally we solve the linear system Ux = y using back substitution.

Summary:

1. Apply Gaussian elimination with pivoting to the matrix *A*, yielding *L*, *U*, *p* such that $LU = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{row} p_1 \text{ or } A \\ \vdots \\ \operatorname{row} p_n \text{ of } A \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\vdots$$

row p_n of A

2. Solve
$$Ly = \begin{bmatrix} b_{p_1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{p_n} \end{bmatrix}$$
 using forward substitution.

3. Solve Ux = y using back substitution.

Note that this algorithm is also known as Gaussian elimination with *partial* pivoting (partial means that we perform row interchanges, but no column interchanges).

Example: Solve Ax = b for $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 & 3 \\ 2 & -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$. With *L* and *p* from the Gaussian elimination the linear system $Ly = (b_{p_1}, b_{p_2, b_{p_3}})^\top = (b_1, b_3, b_2)^\top$ is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -4 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

yielding y = (2, -5, 2) using forward substitution. Then we solve Ux = y

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 & 2 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -5 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

using back substitution and obtain $x_3 = \frac{1}{2}$, $x_2 = \frac{11}{2}$, $x_3 = \frac{1}{2}$.

Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions

If we perform Gaussian elimination with pivoting on a matrix A (in exact arithmetic), there are two possibilities:

- 1. The algorithm can be performed without an error message. In this case the diagonal elements u_{11}, \ldots, u_{nn} are all nonzero. For an arbitrary right hand side vector b we can then perform forward substitution (since the diagonal elements of L are 1), and back substitution, without having to divide by zero. Therefore this yields exactly one **solution** *x* for our linear system.
- 2. The algorithm stops with an error message. E.g., assume that the algorithm stops in column i = 3 since all pivot candidates are zero. This means that a linear system Ax = b is equivalent to the linear system of the form

*	*	*	*	•••	*	$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \end{bmatrix}$		$\begin{bmatrix} c_1 \end{bmatrix}$	
0	*	*	*	•••	*	x_2		c_2	
÷	0	0	*	•••	*	<i>x</i> ₃	=	<i>c</i> ₃	(1)
÷	÷	÷	÷		÷	:		:	
0	0	0	*	•••	* _	<i>x_n</i>		c_n	

Here * denotes an arbitrary number, and \circledast denotes a nonzero number. Let us first consider equations 3 through *n* of this system. These equations only contain $x_4 \dots, x_n$. There are two possibilities:

(a) There is a solution x_4, \ldots, x_n of equations 3 through n. Then we can choose x_3 arbitrarily. Now we can use equation 2 to find x_2 , and finally equation 1 to find x_1 (note that the diagonal elements are nonzero). Therefore we have infinitely many solutions for our linear system.

If b is the zero vector, then c is the zero vector, and we can find a nonzero vector x such that Ax = 0: Let $x_4 = \cdots = x_n = 0$, let $x_3 = 1$, and then find x_2, x_1 using back substitution from the first two equations in (1).

(b) There is no solution x_4, \ldots, x_n of equations 3 through *n*. That means that our original linear system has no solution.

Observation: In case 1. the linear system has a unique solution for any *b*. In particular, Ax = 0 implies x = 0. Hence *A* is nonsingular.

In case 2 we can construct a nonzero solution x for the linear system Ax = 0. Hence A is singular.

We have shown:

Theorem: If a square matrix *A* is **nonsingular**, then the linear system Ax = b has a **unique solution** *x* for any right hand side vector *x*.

If the matrix A is singular, then the linear system Ax = b has either infinitely many solutions, or it has no solution, depending on the right hand side vector.

Example: Consider $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. The first step of Gaussian elimination gives $l_{21} = \frac{-2}{4}$ and $U = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Now we have $u_{22} = 0$, therefore the algorithm stops. Therefore A is singular.

Consider the linear system $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$. By the first step of elimination this becomes $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that the second equation has no solution, and therefore the linear system has no solution.

Now consider $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$.

By the first step of elimination this becomes $\begin{bmatrix} 4 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Note that the second equation is always true. We can choose x_2 arbitrarily, and then determine x_1 from equation 1: $4x_1 - 2x_2 = 2$, yielding $x_1 = (2 + 2x_2)/4$. This gives infinitely many solutions.

The inverse matrix

Example: Consider the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 - & 4 \\ 3 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. With Gaussian elimination we obtain

	1	0	0			1	2	2			[1]
L =	-2	1	0	,	U =	0	5	8	,	p =	2
	3	-1	1			0	0	4			3

Since we obtain an upper triangular matrix with nonzero diagonal elements, the matrix *A* is nonsingular. Therefore the linear system Ax = b has a unique solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, for any given right hand side vector $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

We can find the solution x by first solving $Ly = \begin{bmatrix} b_{p_1} \\ b_{p_2} \\ b_{p_3} \end{bmatrix}$ (forward substitution) and then solving Ux = y (back substitution).

Let us do this for the three right hand side vectors $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$:

$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} \implies y = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\2\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \implies x = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{10}\\\frac{4}{5}\\-\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0 \end{bmatrix} \implies y = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\1 \end{bmatrix} \implies x = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{10}\\-\frac{1}{5}\\\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \implies y = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \implies x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{3}{10}\\-\frac{2}{5}\\\frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let us denote the three solution vectors by $v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, v^{(3)}$. Once we have these three vectors, we can easily obtain the solution for an arbitrary right hand side vector: E.g. for $b = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$ the solution vector is $x = (-1)v^{(1)} + 2v^{(2)} + (-3)v^{(3)}$ because $Ax = (-1)Av^{(1)} + 2Av^{(2)} + (-3)Av^{(3)} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}$.

For the given right hand side vector
$$\begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$
 the solution vector is

$$x = b_1 v^{(1)} + b_2 v^{(2)} + b_3 v^{(3)} = \underbrace{\left[v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, v^{(3)}\right]}_{A^{-1}} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

We denote the 3 × 3 matrix $[v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, v^{(3)}]$ by A^{-1} and call it the **inverse matrix**. In our example we have

$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{10} & -\frac{1}{10} & \frac{3}{10} \\ \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{1}{5} & -\frac{2}{5} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Note that

$$AA^{-1} = A\left[v^{(1)}, v^{(2)}, v^{(3)}\right] = \left[Av^{(1)}, Av^{(2)}, Av^{(3)}\right] = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right].$$

The $n \times n$ matrix

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the **identity matrix**, since it satisfies Iv = v for any vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let us denote the columns of A by $A = [a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, a^{(3)}]$. We have $A \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = a^{(1)}$, hence the solution of this linear system is

given by $\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0 \end{bmatrix} = A^{-1}a^{(1)}$. Therefore

$$A^{-1}A = A^{-1} \left[a^{(1)}, a^{(2)}, a^{(3)} \right] = \left[A^{-1}a^{(1)}, A^{-1}a^{(2)}, A^{-1}a^{(3)} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right].$$

Of course, all these arguments also work for a nonsingular $n \times n$ matrix.

Summary

Assume $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is a nonsingular matrix.

- Finding the inverse matrix A^{-1} :
 - use Gaussian elimination to find L, U, p.



- let $A^{-1} = [v^{(1)}, \dots, v^{(n)}]$
- the solution of the linear system Ax = b is given by $x = A^{-1}b$
- we have $AA^{-1} = I$ and $A^{-1}A = I$ with the indentity matrix I

In Matlab we can obtain the inverse matrix as inv(A), this command works for numerical matrices and for symbolic matrices. Note that in most applications there is actually no need to find the inverse matrix.

If we need to compute $x = A^{-1}b$ for a vector b we should use x=A\b If we need to compute $X = A^{-1}M$ for a matrix M we should use X=A\M

If we need to compute $x = A^{-1}b$ for several vectors b we should use [L,U,p]=lu(A, 'vector') and then use x=U\(L\b(p)) for each vector *b*.

If we use inv(A) then Matlab has first to find the LU-decomposition, and then use this to find the vectors $v^{(1)}, \ldots, v^{(n)}$ which is a substantial extra work. If the size n is small this does not really matter. But in many applications we have n > 1000, and then using inv(A) wastes time and gives less accurate results.

Number of operations for numerical computations

When we perform elimination we update elements of the matrix U by subtracting multiples of the pivot row. So we have to perform updates like

$$u_{42} := u_{42} - \ell_{42} \cdot u_{22}$$

On a computer this involves the following operations

- memory access: getting $\ell_{42}, u_{22}, u_{42}$ from main memory into the processor at the beginning, writing the new value of u_{42} to main memory at the end
- multiplication $t := \ell_{42} \cdot u_{22}$
- addition/subtraction $u_{42} := u_{42} t$

To simplify our bookkeeping, we will only count multiplications and divisions. Typically there will be an equal number of additions and subtractions, and memory access operations. We only want to get some rough idea how the work increases depending on the size *n* of the linear system.

- finding $L, U, p \operatorname{costs} \overline{\frac{1}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)}$ operations elimination of column 1, 2, ..., $n - 1 \cos n(n - 1) + (n - 1)(n - 2) + \dots + 2 \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$ operations
- solving Ax = b if we know L, U, p: costs n^2 operations

solving $Ly = \begin{bmatrix} b_{p_1} \\ \vdots \\ b_{p_n} \end{bmatrix}$: finding y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n costs $0 + 1 + \dots + (n-1) = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ operations

solving Ux = y: finding x_n, x_{n-1}, \dots, x_1 costs $1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{(n+1)n}{2}$ operations

- finding A^{-1} if we know $L, U, p \operatorname{costs}\left[\frac{2}{3}n^3 + O(n^2) \text{ operations}\right]$ finding column $v^{(1)}$: $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ for forward substitution, $\frac{(n+1)n}{2}$ for back substitution finding column $v^{(2)}$: $\frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{2}$ for forward substitution, $\frac{(n+1)n}{2}$ for back substitution total: $\frac{1}{6}n^3 + O(n^2)$ for the forward substitutions, $n\frac{(n+1)n}{2}$ for the back substitutions
- solving Ax = b if we know A^{-1} costs n^2 operations if we have A^{-1} , finding the matrix-vector product $A^{-1}b$ takes n^2 operations

Comparison

In many applications we have to solve several linear systems with the same matrix A. We have two possible strategies and the following costs:

	setup for matrix A	for each vector b		
Strategy 1	[L,U,p]=lu(A,'vector')	x=U(L(b(p)))		
	$\frac{1}{3}n^3 + O(n^2)$	n^2		
Strategy 2	Ai=inv(A)	x=Ai*b		
	$n^3 + O(n^2)$	n^2		

Observations:

- The setup for the matrix A takes most of the work. The additional work for each vector b is very low in comparison.
- Strategy 2 takes about three times as long as Strategy 1