

Banach Algebras

Definition: Let \mathcal{U} be a Banach algebra with identity.

Let $x \in \mathcal{U}$. Then the set

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{U}}(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \lambda e - x \text{ has a two-sided inverse}\}$$

is called the resolvent set of x with respect to \mathcal{U} .

The set $\Sigma_{\mathcal{U}}(x) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{U}}(x)$ is called the spectrum of x w.r.t. \mathcal{U} .

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{U}}(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda \cdot e - x \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

Remark:

x has two-sided inverse $\Leftrightarrow x$ is invertible

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \cdots & \downarrow & \cdots \\ \exists y, z \in \mathcal{U} : & x \cdot y = z \cdot x = e. & \cdots \Leftrightarrow \left[\begin{array}{l} \exists y \in \mathcal{U} : \\ x \cdot y = y \cdot x = e. \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

$$(z = z \cdot e = z \cdot x \cdot y = e \cdot y = y).$$

Notation: From now on, the identity element e will be denoted by 1.

Proposition: Suppose \mathcal{U} is a Banach algebra with identity and $x \in \mathcal{U}$ has a two-sided inverse (i.e., invertible). If $y \in \mathcal{U}$,

$\|y\| < \frac{1}{\|x^{-1}\|}$ then $x+y$ is invertible in \mathcal{U} and:

$$(x+y)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{-1} \cdot (-y \cdot x^{-1})^n$$

In particular if $x=1$ and $\|y\| < 1$ then:

$$(1+y)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n y^n = 1 - y + y^2 - y^3 + \dots, \quad (1-y)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y^n = 1 + y + y^2 + \dots$$

Sketch of Proof:

(24)

$$\|y\| < \frac{1}{\|\bar{x}^{-1}\|} \Rightarrow \|-y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger}\| \leq \|y\| \cdot \|\bar{x}^{\dagger}\| < 1.$$

\Rightarrow series. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger})^n$ is convergent in \mathcal{U}
(w.r.t. \mathcal{U} -norm)

let $z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{x}^{\dagger} \cdot (-y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger})^n$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \cdot z &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger})^n = 1 - y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger} + 1(y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger})^2 y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger} - y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger} y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger} y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger} + \dots \\ &= 1 - y \cdot [\bar{x}^{\dagger} - \bar{x}^{\dagger} y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger} + \bar{x}^{\dagger} y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger} y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger} - \dots] = \\ &= 1 - y \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \bar{x}^{\dagger} \cdot (-y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger})^n = 1 - y \cdot z. \\ &\Rightarrow (x + y)1 \cdot z = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly: $z \cdot x = 1 - z \cdot y$.

(Remark) Corollary: If. $y \in \mathcal{U}$, $\|y\| \cdot \|\bar{x}^{\dagger}\| \leq r < 1$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|(x+y)^{-1}\| &= \left\| \bar{x}^{\dagger} \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-y \cdot \bar{x}^{\dagger})^n \right\| \leq \|\bar{x}^{\dagger}\| \cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\|y\| \cdot \|\bar{x}^{\dagger}\|)^n = \\ &= \frac{\|\bar{x}^{\dagger}\|}{1 - \|y\| \cdot \|\bar{x}^{\dagger}\|} \leq \frac{\|\bar{x}^{\dagger}\|}{1-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary Let \mathcal{U} be a Banach algebra with identity.

The set of invertible element is open, and the set of non-invertible elements is closed.

Pf:

Let $x \in \mathcal{U}$ invertible. Then $B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathcal{U} : \|x-y\| < r\}$ is open:

$$\text{where } r = \frac{1}{\|x^{-1}\|}$$

If. $y \in B_r(x) \rightarrow y = x + w$, with $\|w\| < r = \frac{1}{\|x^{-1}\|}$.

by Proposition $\Rightarrow x + w$ is invertible $\Rightarrow y$ is invertible.

—
□

Theorem. Let \mathcal{U} be a Banach algebra with identity.

Then for any $x \in \mathcal{U}$:

(1) $f(x)$ is an open set in \mathbb{C} ; $\sigma(x)$ is a closed set in \mathbb{C} .

spectrum w.r.t. \mathcal{U}

residual set w.r.t. \mathcal{U}

(2) $R_x : f(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, $R_x(\lambda) = (\lambda \cdot 1 - x)^{-1}$ is an

analytic function in λ (i.e., holomorphic).

(3) $\sigma(x)$ is not empty.

(4) $\sigma(x) \subset \bigcup_{\|\lambda\|} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq \|x\|\} = \overline{B}_{\frac{\|x\|}{2}}$

closed disk of
radius $\frac{\|x\|}{2}$
and center
in \mathbb{C}

—.

Proof.

(1).

Assume. $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}(x) : \lambda \cdot 1 - x$ is invertible in \mathcal{U} .

$$\text{let } r = \frac{1}{\|(\lambda \cdot 1 - x)^{-1}\|}.$$

Take $\mu \in B_r(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{C} : |\mu - \lambda| < r$.

Consider. $w = \mu \cdot 1 - x \in \mathcal{U}$.

Let $v = \lambda \cdot 1 - x \in \mathcal{U}$ and invertible.

$$\|w - v\| = \|(\mu - \lambda) \cdot 1\| = |\mu - \lambda| < r = \frac{1}{\|v^{-1}\|}$$

$w = v + y, \|y\| < \frac{1}{\|v^{-1}\|}$. By Proposition $\Rightarrow w$ is invertible.

$$\Rightarrow \mu \in \mathcal{F}(x).$$

Thus:

$$B_r(\lambda) \subset \mathcal{F}(x) \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(x)$$
 is open.

$\mathcal{F}(x) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{F}(x)$ is closed.

(2).

$$\lambda \in \mathcal{F}(x) \mapsto R_x(\lambda) = (\lambda \cdot 1 - x)^{-1}.$$

Definition. A function $F: D \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is called strongly analytic at $x_0 \in D$, where $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ is an open connected set.

if. the limit. $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} (F(x_0 + h) - F(x_0))$ exists in \mathcal{U} as $h \rightarrow 0$ in \mathbb{C} .

(5).

Fact: If $F: D \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is strongly analytic at x_0 ,
then there are $(T_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $T_n \in \mathcal{U}$ s.t. and $r > 0$, s.t.

$$F(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} (z - x_0)^n \cdot T_n,$$

converges in \mathcal{U} , absolutely (and for every $z \in B_r(x_0) \subset \mathbb{C}$).

The radius of convergence: $r(F; x_0) = \frac{1}{\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\|^{1/n}}$.

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\|^{1/n}.$$

Lemma. [First Resolvent Formula].

$$\text{For any } \mu, \lambda \in \mathcal{F}(x), \quad R_x(\lambda) \cdot R_x(\mu) = R_x(\mu) \cdot R_x(\lambda)$$

(they commute), and:

$$R_x(\lambda) - R_x(\mu) = (\lambda - \mu) \cdot R_x(\lambda) \cdot R_x(\mu) =$$

Proof.

$$(1). \quad (\lambda \cdot 1 - x) \cdot (\mu \cdot 1 - x) = \lambda \mu 1 - \lambda x - \mu x + x^2$$

$$(\mu \cdot 1 - x)(\lambda \cdot 1 - x) = \lambda \mu 1 - \mu x - \lambda x + x^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{use: } (a \cdot b)^{-1} = b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}$$

$$\Rightarrow R_x(\lambda) \cdot R_x(\mu) = R_x(\mu) \cdot R_x(\lambda)$$

$$(2) \quad R_x(\lambda) - R_x(\mu) = (\lambda \cdot 1 - x)^{-1} - (\mu \cdot 1 - x)^{-1} = (\lambda \cdot 1 - x)^{-1} \cdot \left[\mu \cdot 1 - x - (\lambda \cdot 1 - x) \right] \cdot (\mu \cdot 1 - x)^{-1} = (\mu - \lambda) \cdot (\lambda \cdot 1 - x)^{-1} \cdot (\mu \cdot 1 - x)^{-1} =$$

Return to the proof that $z \mapsto R_x(z)$ is analytic.

Fix $\lambda \in \gamma(x)$. Want: $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} [R_x(\mu) - R_x(\lambda)]$.

exists in \mathcal{U} .

By Lemma:

$$\frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} [R_x(\mu) - R_x(\lambda)] = -R_x(\lambda) \cdot R_x(\mu) =$$

$$= -R_x(\lambda) \cdot [R_x(\lambda) + R_x(\mu) - R_x(\lambda)] =$$

$$= -(R_x(\lambda))^2 + \underbrace{R_x(\lambda) \cdot R_x(\mu) \cdot R_x(\lambda)}_{\text{need to check it is uniformly bounded for every } \mu \in B_r(\lambda)} \cdot (\mu - \lambda).$$

for some $r > 0$.

Once we have uniform boundedness,

$$\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} [R_x(\mu) - R_x(\lambda)] = - (R_x(\lambda))^2.$$

Let $r = \frac{1}{\|(\lambda \cdot I - x)\|}$. Let $\mu \in \mathbb{C}: |\mu - \lambda| < r$.

$$\text{Then: } (\mu \cdot I - x)^{-1} = \left(\underbrace{(\mu - \lambda) \cdot 1}_{\text{perturbation.}} + \underbrace{\lambda \cdot I - x}_{\text{invertible.}} \right)^{-1} =: R_x(\mu).$$

$$\|R_x(\mu)\| \leq \frac{\|R_x(\lambda)\|}{1 - (\mu - \lambda) \cdot \|R_x(\lambda)\|} = \frac{\|R_x(\lambda)\|}{1 - r \cdot \|R_x(\lambda)\|}.$$

$\forall \mu \in B_r(\lambda)$.

$$\Rightarrow \|R_x(\lambda) \cdot R_x(\mu) R_x(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{\|R_x(\lambda)\|^3}{1 - r \cdot \|R_x(\lambda)\|}, \forall \mu \in B_r(\lambda)$$

(*) $\Gamma(x)$ is not empty.

Assume $\Gamma(x)$ is empty $\Rightarrow z \cdot 1-x$ is invertible
for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

$z \mapsto R_x(z) = (z \cdot 1-x)^{-1}$ is holomorphic in the entire complex plane.

Take $l \in \mathcal{U}^*$.

$z \mapsto l(R_x(z)) \in \mathbb{C}$, holomorphic in the entire \mathbb{C} .

$$(z \cdot 1-x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{z} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{z}x\right)^{-1}$$

If $|z| > \|x\| \Rightarrow \frac{1}{z}x$ is invertible

$$\left\|\left(1 - \frac{1}{z}x\right)^{-1}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\|x\|}{|z|}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|(z \cdot 1-x)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{|z|} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\|x\|}{|z|}} = \frac{1}{|z| - \|x\|}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \|(z \cdot 1-x)^{-1}\| = 0.$$

$\Rightarrow z \mapsto l(R_x(z))$ is bounded.

and $\lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} l(R_x(z)) = 0$

By the liouville's theorem:

$$l(R_x(z)) = 0, \forall z, \forall l \in \mathcal{U}^*$$

$$\Rightarrow R_x(z) = 0, \forall z \Rightarrow z \cdot R_x(z) = 0$$

$$0 = \underbrace{z \cdot R_x(z)}_{\text{at } z \rightarrow 0} = z \cdot (z \cdot 1 - x)^{-1} = \underbrace{(1 - \frac{1}{z}x)^{-1}}_{\downarrow}$$

$0 \neq 1.$ \Rightarrow Contradiction.

(4).

If $\lambda \in \Gamma(x)$: need to show: $|\lambda| \leq \|x\|.$

Assume: $\mu \in \mathbb{C}: |\mu| > \|x\|$

we show: $(\mu \cdot 1 - x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{\mu} \cdot \underbrace{(1 - \frac{1}{\mu}x)^{-1}}_{\in U} \in U.$

$\Rightarrow \mu \in \Gamma(x), \quad \in U.$

\downarrow

$\# \lambda \in \Gamma(x) \Rightarrow |\lambda| \leq \|x\|.$

$$\begin{aligned} \# l \in U^* \rightarrow l \left(\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} [R_x(\mu) - R_x(\lambda)] \right) &= \downarrow \\ &= \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \lambda} \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda} [l(R_x(\mu)) - l(R_x(\lambda))]. \xrightarrow{\text{exist.}} \end{aligned}$$