

Two counterexamples in commutative algebra

Sam Lidz

April 2024

These are notes from my presentation in my commutative algebra class at the University of Maryland, taught by Professor Thomas Haines. They cover a large part, but not all, of what I presented.

1 An infinite dimensional Noetherian ring

This result is taken from Atiyah MacDonal section 11, exercise 4.

Let $R = k[X_1, X_2, \dots]$ be the polynomial ring in countably many variables over a field k . Let m_i be a sequence of integers such that $m_1 = 0$, $m_2 \geq 1$, and $m_{i+1} - m_i > m_i - m_{i-1}$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_i = (X_{m_i+1}, \dots, X_{m_{i+1}})$. Let $S = R \setminus \bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i$.

Theorem 1. $S^{-1}R$ is a Noetherian ring of infinite dimension.

It is clear that this ring has infinite dimension, since $\text{ht}(S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_i)$ grows unboundedly as i does. To show that this ring is Noetherian, we will need the following lemma.

Lemma 1. Suppose A is a ring satisfying

1. $\forall \mathfrak{m} \subset A$ maximal, $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Noetherian
2. $\forall x \neq 0$ in A , the set $\{\mathfrak{m} \subset A \text{ maximal} \mid x \in \mathfrak{m}\}$ is finite

Then A is Noetherian.

Proof. Let $I \subset A$ be an ideal. I will show that I is finitely generated. Let $x_0 \in I$, let $\mathfrak{m}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_r$ be the maximal ideals containing I , and let $\mathfrak{m}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{m}_{r+s}$ be the maximal ideals containing x_0 but not I . Then $\exists x_j$ ($1 \leq j \leq s$) such that $x_j \in I$, $x_j \notin \mathfrak{m}_{r+j}$. Since each $A_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$ is Noetherian, $IA_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$ are all finitely generated, so $\exists x_{s+1}, \dots, x_t \in A$ whose images generate each of the $A_{\mathfrak{m}_i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r$).

Let $I_0 = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_t)$. Then $\forall \mathfrak{m}$ maximal in A , $I_0 A_{\mathfrak{m}} = I A_{\mathfrak{m}}$, so we have that $I_0 = I$, so I is finitely generated, so A is Noetherian. \square

To use this lemma, we need a characterization of the maximal ideals of $S^{-1}R$. It turns out that the $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_i$ are exactly the maximal ideals of $S^{-1}R$. To see this, recall that ideals of $S^{-1}R$ correspond to ideals of R contained in $\bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i$, and use the following fact.

Claim. If $I \subseteq \bigcup \mathfrak{p}_i$ is an ideal of R , then $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i .

Proof. If $I = (0)$, this is immediate, so assume $I \neq (0)$. Fix $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. Note that

$$I \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^N \mathfrak{p}_i \cup (X_{m_{N+1}+1}, X_{m_{N+1}+2}, \dots)$$

On the right of this inclusion relation, we have a finite union of prime ideals, so by prime avoidance, $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some $i \leq N$, or $I \subseteq (X_{m_{N+1}+1}, X_{m_{N+1}+2}, \dots)$.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $I \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for any i . Then we have that

$$I \subseteq \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} (X_{m_{N+1}+1}, X_{m_{N+1}+2}, \dots) = (0)$$

But we assumed that $I \neq (0)$, so this is a contradiction, and $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$ for some i . \square

Thus we have that the $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_i$ are exactly the maximal ideals of $S^{-1}R$, as mentioned above. Now we can prove the theorem, by checking that $S^{-1}R$ satisfies conditions 1 and 2 from the lemma.

Proof. To check condition 1, observe that

$$(S^{-1}R)_{S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_i} = R_{\mathfrak{p}_i} \cong k(X_1, \dots, X_{m_i}, X_{m_{i+1}+1}, \dots)[X_{m_{i+1}}, \dots, X_{m_{i+1}+1}]$$

is a finite polynomial ring over a field, and is thus Noetherian.

To check condition 2, it suffices to check that an element of R can be in only finitely many \mathfrak{p}_i , which is immediate. \square

So $S^{-1}R$ is Noetherian and infinite dimensional.

2 A one dimensional ring whose polynomial ring is three dimensional

This example is taken from [this math stackexchange post](#), with a few additional details filled in.

Let k be a field, and let A be the subring of $k(t)[[Y]]$ given by $f(0) \in k$. By $f(0)$ I mean that Y is thought of as the variable in this ring, so the elements of A are power series with constant term in k , and other coefficients in $k(t)$. Then A has a unique nonzero prime ideal, $P = \{f \mid f(0) = 0\}$. It's clear that P is the unique maximal ideal, since it contains exactly the non-unit elements of A . To see that it's the only prime, I will show that any prime ideal I containing a nonzero element must contain all non-unit elements. I would be interested to know of a more elegant proof of this fact than the one I give here.

Proof that P is the unique prime ideal in A . Suppose $a_1Y^m + a_2Y^{m+1} + \dots \in I$. Then $a_1^{-1}Y \in A$, so $Y^{m+1} + \frac{a_2}{a_1}Y^{m+2} + \dots \in I$. This element is $u \times Y^m$ for some $u \in A^\times$, so $Y^m \in I$, so since we assumed I is prime, we have $Y \in I$. Then, for any $a \in k(t)$, $a^2Y \in A$, so $a^2Y^2 = (aY)^2 \in I$, so by primality of I , $aY \in I$. So all elements of P are in I , so $P = I$. \square

So A has dimension 1, but I will now show that $A[X]$ has dimension 3.

Proof. Consider the maps

$$A[X] \xrightarrow{\varphi} k(t)[[Y]] \xrightarrow{\psi} k(t)$$

where $\varphi(X) = t$, and $\psi(Y) = 0$. The kernel K of φ is prime, since the image of φ is an integral domain. Also, $K \subseteq P[X]$, since $P[X]$ is the kernel of $\psi \circ \varphi$. Notice also that $YX - tY \in K$, so $K \neq (0)$, and $Y \notin K$, so $K \neq P[X]$. So we have a chain of prime ideals $(0) \subsetneq K \subsetneq P[X] \subsetneq P[X] + (X)$ of length 3. So the dimension of $A[X]$ is 3 (see Atiyah Macdonald section 11 exercise 6). \square