

## (Partial) Solutions to STAT 650 HW2 Problems

**Serfozo #25.** Fix  $i, j \in C \subset S$  with respective periods  $d_i, d_j$ , where  $C$  is an irreducible class. The problem tells us to define  $m, n$  as integers (the book says the smallest ones, but that does not matter) such that  $p_{ij}^n, p_{ji}^m > 0$ , and let  $a = p_{ij}^n p_{ji}^m$ , which is also positive. Then for all  $t \geq 0$ , the multistep probability  $p_{ii}^{t+n+m} \geq p_{ij}^n p_{jj}^t p_{ji}^m = a p_{jj}^t$ , and similarly  $p_{jj}^{t+m+n} \geq a p_{ii}^t$ . By definition of *period*, any  $t \geq 0$  with  $p_{jj}^t > 0$  is divisible by  $d_j$ , and any  $t \geq 0$  with  $p_{ii}^t > 0$  is divisible by  $d_i$ , and  $m+n$  is divisible by both  $d_i$  and  $d_j$ . It follows that for any  $t$  with  $p_{jj}^t > 0$ ,  $t+m+n$  and therefore also  $t$  is divisible by  $d_i$ , so that  $d_j \geq d_i$  (since  $d_j$  is the gcd. Similarly, for any  $t$  with  $p_{ii}^t > 0$ ,  $t+m+n$  and therefore  $t$  is divisible by  $d_j$ , so that  $d_j \leq d_i$ . Therefore  $d_i = d_j$ .

**Serfozo #36.** With reference to Example 71 from p.45 of the book, the meaning seems to be that each single ‘time step’  $n$  indexes a single job processed, but that a job of type  $i$  might immediately follow another job of type  $i$ . In that case, presumably  $v(i, i) = 0$  for all  $i$ . The intended method of calculating  $\gamma$  given as a probability-1 or in-probability limit of average costs is to check that if the chain is ergodic with stationary distribution  $\pi$  and starts in  $i_0$ , then

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n v(X_{t-1}, X_t)\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \sum_{i,j \in S} p_{i_0,i}^t p_{ij} v(i, j) \rightarrow \sum_{i,j \in S} \pi_i p_{ij} v(i, j)$$

since  $p_{i_0,i}^t \rightarrow \pi_i$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The corresponding limiting per-job cost of switches from  $i$  is  $\gamma(i) = \pi_i \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} v(i, j)$ . To make the result of this problem rigorous, we will discuss in future classes the convergence with probability 1 of the averages  $n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n v(X_{t-1}, X_t)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and some additional requirement related to the uniform boundedness of the expectation of  $v(X_{t-1}, X_t)$ . For example if  $\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} v_{i,j} \leq C$  for some finite constant  $C$  not depending on  $i$ , then the limit w.p.1 and in expectation can be made rigorous.

**Serfozo #37.** The renewal age process of Example 20 was given in terms of partial sums  $T_k = \sum_{a=1}^k \xi_a$  of *iid* discrete random variables  $\xi_a$  with distribution function  $F$ , by  $X_n = n - \max\{T_{k-1} : k \geq 1, T_{k-1} \leq n\}$ . The resulting transition probabilities (also given in Example 20) are as follows. If  $X_n = 0$ , then  $X_n = T_{k-1}$  for some  $k \geq 1$ , and  $X_{n+1} = I_{[\xi_k > 1]}$  which means  $p_{0,1} = 1 - p_{0,0} = 1 - F(1)$ . If  $X_n = i > 0$ , then for some  $k \geq 1$   $T_{k-1} = n - i$  and  $\xi_k > i$ , with  $X_{n+1} = (i+1) I_{[\xi_k > i+1]}$ , and  $p_{i,i+1} = 1 - p_{i,0} = P(\xi_k > i+1 | \xi_k > i) = (1 - F(i+1))/(1 - F(i))$ .

In this problem, if there is a finite maximum lifetime for the renewing devices, i.e., if there is a finite  $m_*$  such that  $F(m_*) = 1$ , then the irreducible closed class of states is  $S = \{0, 1, \dots, m_* - 1\}$ , and otherwise the state-space  $S = \{0, 1, \dots\}$  is irreducible. Since  $p_{0,0} > 0$ , the chain is aperiodic. To verify ergodicity, it suffices to check that the probability vector  $\pi$  stated in the problem is actually a stationary distribution.

**Serfozo #44.** The problem does not define “state-space” and “location” precisely. If “location” is regarded as the edge most recently traversed, and this is synonymous with “state”, then  $|S|$  is the number of edges, and the problem is correct as stated. If instead you want to define the state space  $S = G$  as the set of vertices or nodes of the graph, then the formula for stationary distribution is not correct because the sum of  $\pi_i$  entries does not sum to 1. Indeed, as part of this problem you must verify the (easy) assertion that  $\sum_{i \in G} |G_i|$  is exactly twice the number of edges in the graph.

**Lefebvre #20.** The “ladder chain” described here is irreducible by inspection. The key in this problem is to realize that starting from state 0, the stopping-time  $\tau_0$  has probability mass function given for  $k \geq 1$  by

$$P_0(\tau_0 = k) = \alpha_0 \cdot \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{k-1} (1 - \alpha_k)$$

where the product  $\alpha_0 \cdots \alpha_{k-1}$  is taken to be 1 when  $k = 0$ . This equation implies that  $P_0(\tau_0 \geq k) = \prod_{t=0}^{k-1} \alpha_t$ . The condition  $P_0(\tau_0 < \infty)$  for recurrence is simply that  $P_0(\tau_0 \geq k) \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , and the criterion for positive-recurrence is that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \cdot P_0(\tau_0 = k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_0(\tau_0 \geq k) < \infty$ .