

## Stat 710 HW2 Solutions

**# 1 in-class.** We are considering *iid*  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  r.v.'s  $X_i$ , and  $\vartheta = (\mu, \sigma)$ , with generalized method of moments estimators defined in terms of  $\mathbf{e}(\vartheta) = E_{\vartheta} \left( (I_{[X_1 \leq -1]}, I_{[X_1 \leq 1]}) \right) = (\Phi(-(1 + \mu)/\sigma), \Phi((1 - \mu)/\sigma))$ . These moment estimators  $(\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\sigma})$  are uniquely determined from the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{-2\tilde{\mu}}{\tilde{\sigma}} &= \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[X_i \leq 1]}\right) + \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[X_i \leq -1]}\right) \\ \frac{2}{\tilde{\sigma}} &= \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[X_i \leq 1]}\right) - \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_{[X_i \leq -1]}\right) \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobian derivative matrix  $J_{\mathbf{e}}$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{-1}{\sigma} \phi((1 + \mu)/\sigma) & \frac{1+\mu}{\sigma^2} \phi((1 + \mu)/\sigma) \\ \frac{-1}{\sigma} \phi((1 - \mu)/\sigma) & \frac{\mu-1}{\sigma^2} \phi((1 - \mu)/\sigma) \end{pmatrix}$$

We learn from Theorem 4.1 that these generalized moment estimators are asymptotically normal with mean  $\vartheta$  and asymptotic variance (without factor  $1/n$ ) given at  $\mu_0 = 0, \sigma_0 = 1$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & J_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1} E \begin{pmatrix} I_{[X_1 \leq -1]} & I_{[X_1 \leq -1]} \\ I_{[X_1 \leq -1]} & I_{[X_1 \leq 1]} \end{pmatrix} J_{\mathbf{e}}^{-1 tr} \\ &= \frac{1}{\phi^2(1)} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(-1) & \Phi(-1) \\ \Phi(-1) & \Phi(1) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\phi^2(1)} \begin{pmatrix} \Phi(1) + 3\Phi(-1) & \Phi(1) - \Phi(-1) \\ \Phi(1) - \Phi(-1) & \Phi(1) - \Phi(-1) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and  $\text{Avar}(\tilde{\mu}) = (3\Phi(-1) + \Phi(1))/(4\phi^2(1)) = 5.62$  versus the corresponding value of 1 for  $\text{Avar}(\bar{X})$ .

**# 1, p.40.** Here  $EX_i^2 = \vartheta^2 \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^1 x^2 dx = \vartheta^2/3$ , which implies the moment-based estimator is  $\tilde{\vartheta} = g(\bar{X}^2) = \left(\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2\right)^{1/2}$ . Then  $g'(g^{-1}(\vartheta)) = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} (\vartheta^2/3)^{-1/2} = 3/(2\vartheta)$ , while  $\sqrt{n} (n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - \vartheta^2/3) \rightarrow^D \mathcal{N}(0, 4\vartheta^4/45)$ . This implies  $\sqrt{n} (\tilde{\vartheta} - \vartheta) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \vartheta^2/5)$ .

# 6. Following the hint: suppose  $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2$  are distinct zero-points for  $\mathbf{e}$  on the convex set  $\Theta$ , and  $g(\lambda) = (\vartheta_1 - vt_2)^{tr} \mathbf{e}(\lambda\vartheta_1 + (1-\lambda)\vartheta_2)$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Then  $g(0) = g(1) = 0$ , but  $g'(\lambda) = (\vartheta_1 - vt_2)^{tr} J_{\mathbf{e}}(\lambda\vartheta_1 + (1-\lambda)\vartheta_2) > 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ , which is not possible since  $g(1) - g(0) = \int_0^1 g(\lambda) d\lambda$ .

# 5, p.83.  $L(\mathbf{X}, \vartheta) = \prod_i f_{X_i}(X_i, 1/\vartheta) = \prod_i (1/X_i!) \vartheta^{-n\bar{X}} e^{-n/\vartheta}$ , which is maximized in  $\vartheta$  at  $1/\bar{X}$ . Since  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - 1/\vartheta) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1/\vartheta)$  and  $g(z) = 1/z$  satisfies  $g'(1/\vartheta) = -\vartheta^2$  in the  $\Delta$ -method, we get  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - 1/\vartheta) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (1/\vartheta)(-\vartheta^2)) = \mathcal{N}(0, \vartheta^3)$ .

# 9.  $L(\mathbf{X}, \vartheta) = \vartheta^{-n} \prod_i I_{[X_i \leq \vartheta]} = \vartheta^{-n} I_{[\max X_i \leq \vartheta]}$ , and this is maximized in  $\vartheta$  at  $\hat{\vartheta} = \max_i X_i$ . To show consistent and not asymptotically normal, calculate

$$P(\hat{\vartheta} \leq \vartheta - \frac{t}{n}) = (P(X_1 \leq \vartheta - \frac{t}{n}))^n = (1 - \frac{t}{n\vartheta})^n = \exp(-t/\vartheta)$$

So the asymptotic distribution of  $n(\vartheta - \hat{\vartheta})$  is *Expon*( $1/\vartheta$ ).

# 14. The ingredients of this problem, like the Example 5.26 on which it is based by analogy, are: (i) an unobserved component  $Z_i$  associated with each observation  $(X_i, Y_i)$ , (ii) a sufficient statistic  $U_i(\vartheta)$  for  $Z_i$  if the observation  $(X_i, Y_i)$  and  $\vartheta$  are given; and (iii) a function arising from factorization of the likelihood for  $(X_i, Y_i, Z_i)$  which, after correcting for conditional expectation given  $U_i(\vartheta)$  under the model with parameter  $\vartheta$ , can serve as estimating function  $\psi$ .

In this example, using  $h$  to denote the density of  $Z_i$ , we have log-likelihood and factorization as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \log f_{X,Y,Z}(x, y, z) &= \log \frac{h(z)}{2\pi\sigma^2} - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (x^2 + (1+\beta^2)z^2 + (y-\alpha)^2 - 2z(x+\beta(y-\alpha))) \\ &= \log \frac{h(z)}{2\sigma^2\pi} - \frac{1}{2(1+\beta^2)\sigma^2} \{(u - z(1+\beta^2))^2 + (y - \alpha - \beta x)^2\} \end{aligned}$$

where  $u \equiv x + \beta(y - \alpha)$ , and we define the sufficient ‘statistic’, for known  $(\alpha, \beta, \sigma^2)$ , by  $U_i(\alpha, \beta) = X_i + \beta(Y_i - \alpha)$ . The likelihood factorization (or the hint given in class to think about the ML estimating function when  $Z_i$  is absent) suggests to take  $\psi(X_i, Y_i, \alpha, \beta)$  as

$$-\nabla_{\alpha, \beta} \left[ \frac{(Y_i - \alpha - \beta X_i)^2}{(1 + \beta^2)} \right] = \frac{Y_i - \alpha - \beta X_i}{1 + \beta^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ U_i/(1 + \beta^2) \end{pmatrix} \quad (1)$$

corrected if necessary by its conditional expectation given  $U_i$ . To find the correction-term, we need to calculate the conditional distribution (for given  $(\alpha, \beta)$ ) of  $X_i$  given  $U_i$  which by sufficiency is the same as the conditional distribution of  $X_i$  given  $U_i, Z_i$ . Recall that  $Z_i$  (with mean and variance which we denote  $\mu_Z, \sigma_Z^2$ ) is not itself assumed to be a normal random variable, so we find the conditional distribution from first principles: first integrating out the  $x$  variable from  $f_{X,U,Z}(x, u, z)$ , we find that  $f_{U,Z}(u, z)$  is proportional to  $\exp(-(u/\beta - (1 + \beta^2)x)^2/(2\sigma^2(1 + \beta^2)))$ . Therefore,

$$f_{X|U,Z}(x|u, z) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{(u - (1 + \beta^2)x/\beta)^2}{2\sigma^2(1 + \beta^2)}\right), \quad \text{hence} \quad \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\frac{u}{1 + \beta^2}, \frac{\sigma^2 \beta^2}{1 + \beta^2}\right)$$

Now

$$E(X_i | U_i) = \frac{U_i}{1 + \beta^2}, \quad E(Y_i - \alpha - \beta X_i | U_i) = E\left(\frac{1}{\beta}(U_i - (1 + \beta^2)X_i) | U_i\right) = 0$$

and it follows that expressions (1) have expectation 0. Now we will calculate the  $V, W$ , matrices arising in the asymptotic distribution theory of estimating-equation solutions, and will find that both of these matrices are proportional to the same matrix

$$\Sigma \equiv E\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ U_i/(1 + \beta^2) \end{array}\right)^{\otimes 2} = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \mu_Z \end{array}\right)^{\otimes 2} + \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \frac{(1 + \beta^2)^2(\sigma_Z^2 + \sigma^2) + \beta^2\sigma^2}{(1 + \beta^2)^2}$$

where in the last term we have inserted the unconditional variance of  $U_i = (1 + \beta^2)(Z_i + e_i) + \beta f_i$ . Then, repeatedly using the preceding conditional expectation of  $Y_i - \alpha - \beta X_i$  equal to 0 in order to simplify expressions,

$$V = E_{\alpha, \beta, \sigma^2}\left(-\nabla_{\alpha, \beta}^{tr} \psi(X_1, Y_1, \alpha, \beta)\right) = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^2} E\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ U_i/(1 + \beta^2) \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ X_i \end{array}\right)^{tr}$$

which implies, after substituting  $E(X_i | U_i) = U_i/(1 + \beta^2)$ ,

$$V = \frac{1}{1 + \beta^2} \Sigma$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} W &= E_{\alpha, \beta, \sigma^2}\left(\psi(X_i, Y_i, \alpha, \beta)^{\otimes 2}\right) \\ &= (1 + \beta^2)^{-2} E\left(\left(\frac{U_i}{\beta} - \frac{1 + \beta^2}{\beta} X_i\right)^2 \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ U_i/(1 + \beta^2) \end{array}\right)^{\otimes 2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

which by substitution of the conditional variance for  $X_i$  given  $U_i$  yields

$$W = \frac{1}{\beta^2} E\left(\frac{\sigma^2\beta^2}{1+\beta^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ U_i/(1+\beta^2) \end{pmatrix}^{\otimes 2}\right) = \sigma^2 V$$

The estimating function  $\psi$  leads to uniquely defined estimators as follows:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \alpha - \beta X_i) = 0 \quad \implies \quad \bar{Y} - \alpha - \beta \bar{X} = 0$$

and after substituting the last equation we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \alpha - \beta X_i) U_i = 0 \quad \implies \quad \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y} - \beta(X_i - \bar{X})) (Y_i - X_i/\beta) = 0$$

which yields a unique solution using  $s_y^2 - s_x^2 + (\beta^{-1} - \beta)s_{xy} = 0$ . The hypotheses of our asymptotic theorem on estimating equations are readily checked, and the net result of that theorem is that

$$\sqrt{n} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\alpha} - \alpha \\ \tilde{\beta} - \beta \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 (1 + \beta^2) \Sigma)$$

**# 16.** The estimator under consideration is  $\tilde{\vartheta} = \arg \min_{\vartheta} \sum_{i=1}^n |Y_i - f_{\vartheta}(X_i)|$ , which you would expect to converge in probability to a minimizer  $\vartheta_*$  of  $E|Y_1 - f_{\vartheta}(X_1)|$ . In fact, Theorem 5.14 could easily be used to prove that. Or, with some further assumptions on  $f_{\vartheta}$ , one could guarantee that the minimizer  $\vartheta_*$  would be unique, in which case a Theorem like 5.7 would establish consistency. The asymptotic distribution of  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\vartheta} - \vartheta_*)$  would then be normal with mean zero and an asymptotic variance as in Theorem 5.23. All of this depends on appropriate smoothness of  $E|Y_1 - f_{\vartheta}(X_1)|$  in  $\vartheta$ , which we must verify, as follows, using the same idea as in Example 5.24, p. 55.

$$\begin{aligned} E|Y_1 - f_{\vartheta}(X_1)| &= E|e_1 + f_{\vartheta_*}(X_1) - f_{\vartheta}(X_1)| = \int \int \left\{ (-z + f_{\vartheta}(x) - f_{\vartheta_*}(x))^+ \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-z + f_{\vartheta}(x) - f_{\vartheta_*}(x))^- \right\} dz dx \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the Fundamental Theorem to remove the terms arising from differentiation of limits of integration (in  $z$ , from  $-\infty$  to  $f_{\vartheta}(x) - f_{\vartheta_*}(x)$  for  $(\cdot)^+$ , and from  $f_{\vartheta}(x) - f_{\vartheta_*}(x)$  to  $\infty$  for  $(\cdot)^-$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\vartheta} E|Y_1 - f_{\vartheta}(X_1)| &= \int \int \left\{ I_{[z \leq f_{\vartheta}(x) - f_{\vartheta_*}(x)]} - I_{[z > f_{\vartheta}(x) - f_{\vartheta_*}(x)]} \right\} \nabla_{\vartheta} f_{\vartheta}(x) f_e(z) dz dx \\ &= \int \int (2F_e(f_{\vartheta}(x) - f_{\vartheta_*}(x)) - 1) \nabla_{\vartheta} f_{\vartheta}(x) dx \end{aligned}$$